



2004

# Bordering on Terror: Global Business in Times of Terror-- The Legal Issues: Introduction

Elizabeth Rindskopf Parker  
*Pacific McGeorge School of Law*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/facultyarticles>

 Part of the [National Security Law Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

17 *Transnat'l Law*. 37

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the McGeorge School of Law Faculty Scholarship at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in McGeorge School of Law Scholarly Articles by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [mgibney@pacific.edu](mailto:mgibney@pacific.edu).

## **Bordering on Terror**

### **Global Business in Times of Terror—The Legal Issues**

#### **Introduction**

*Elizabeth Rindskopf Parker\**

With September 11th still fresh in mind, the following proceedings from the 2003 Pacific/McGeorge Symposium “Bordering on Terror” struggle to understand the new paradigm of national security and its doctrine that now confronts us. Fundamentally, as several of the contributors note, there is a need to define what we mean by terrorism, both from a practical, and a political standpoint. Indeed, much effort has been spent in addressing this subject, yet consensus in understanding what is meant by terrorism and what responses to it are necessary is not at hand. Commenting on this problem, Professor Michael Malloy, in his wry piece “*Was Bedeutet Terrorismus,*” lays bare the conflict in the many definitions of terrorism that exist within the U.S. statutory code, not to mention among the nations of the world.

Yet understanding terrorism is more than agreeing upon a definition, as important as that may be. What are the factual preconditions that produce terrorism and world instability? Is it the case, as Bart Fisher urges, that poverty and economic inequality is the root cause for the unrest that breeds terrorism? Or, is there a deeper social and cultural explanation for the terrorist phenomenon that has developed among the nations of the world as Professor Barry Feinstein suggests, drawing upon the experience of Israel in recent years? And, what use in combating terrorism can we make of Professor John Norton Moore’s impressive research, documenting that democracies do not engage in belligerent action against each other? How does this learning relate to the future of terrorism among groups and individuals, not nation states? These were among the fundamental issues identified during the Symposium’s effort to understand the root causes of terrorism.

---

\* Dean, University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law. Dean Rindskopf Parker’s expertise in national security and terrorism comes from eleven years of federal service, first as general counsel of the National Security Agency (1984–1989), then as principal deputy legal adviser at the U.S. Department of State (1989–1990), and as general counsel for the Central Intelligence Agency (1990–1995). From 1979 to 1981 Dean Rindskopf Parker served as acting assistant director for mergers and acquisitions at the Federal Trade Commission.

Finally, assuming that a new type of terrorism has been unleashed, such that it is the most significant threat facing our national security today, have we marshaled the right responses to counter this threat? What reliance should be placed on the treaty instruments of the past? Is Ambassador Thomas Graham correct that such disarmament treaties are the only way that we can now proceed with integrity or is there support for a more individualized legal approach?

The questions identified and the answers explored in the two days of this Symposium are as fresh today as they were last year. The fact is that a major shift in our thinking about national and international security is underway and no quick or easy answers exist. In the contributions that follow, however, sound ideas and frank discussion contributes to illuminating the issues and laying the groundwork for the new doctrine that is needed in confronting strategic terrorism in the future.