

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM THE ELDER MR. EULER  
TO MR. BERNOULLI, CONCERNING THE MEMOIR  
PUBLISHED AMONG THOSE OF 1771

Having read with much pleasure your investigations on numbers of the form  $10^p \pm 1$ , I have the honor of communicating to you the criteria by which one can judge, for each prime number  $2p + 1$ , which of the two formulas  $10^p + 1$  or  $10^p - 1$  will be divisible by  $2p + 1$ .

For this purpose, it is necessary to distinguish the following two cases.

**First Case.** If  $2p + 1 = 4n + 1$ , one has only to consider the divisors of the three numbers  $n$ ,  $n - 2$ , and  $n - 6$ , and if among them one finds either both the numbers 2 and 5, or neither of them, that indicates that the formula  $10^p - 1$  will be divisible; but if among the said divisors only one of the numbers 2 or 5 is found, then the formula  $10^p + 1$  will be divisible. Thus, for the prime number  $2p + 1 = 53 = 4n + 1$ , we will have  $n = 13$ , and our three numbers will be 13, 11, 7, then neither 2 nor 5 is a divisor, and therefore the formula  $10^{26} - 1$  will be divisible by 53.

**Second Case.** If  $2p + 1 = 4n - 1$ , one must consider these three numbers  $n$ ,  $n + 2$ , and  $n + 6$ , and if among their divisors either both the numbers 2 and 5 are encountered, or neither of them, then the formula  $10^p - 1$  will be divisible; but if only one of the numbers 2 and 5 is found to be among them, then the formula  $10^p + 1$  will be divisible. For example if  $2p + 1 = 59 = 4n - 1$ , and therefore  $n = 15$ , our three numbers are 15, 17, 21, where 5 is among the divisors but not 2, so the formula  $10^{29} + 1$  will be divisible by 59.

These rules are based on a principle whose proof is not yet known.

The largest prime number that we know is without doubt  $2^{31} - 1 = 2147483647$ , which Fermat has already verified to be prime; and I have also proved it; for, since this formula can admit divisors only of the two forms  $248n + 1$  and  $248n + 63$ , I have examined all the prime numbers contained in these two formulas until 46339, none of which was found to be a divisor.

This progression

$$41, 43, 47, 53, 61, 71, 83, 97, 113, 131, \text{ etc.}$$

whose general term is

$$41 - x + xx,$$

is all the more remarkable because the first forty terms are all prime numbers.