



John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)

1897-01-18

Letter from John Muir to [Warren] Olney, 1897 Jan 18.

John Muir

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence>

Recommended Citation

Muir, John, "Letter from John Muir to [Warren] Olney, 1897 Jan 18." (1897). *John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)*. 2125.

<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence/2125>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in John Muir Correspondence (PDFs) by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

Martinez, Jan. 18, 1897.

My dear Olney. I think with you that a resolution like the one you offered the other day, should be thoroughly discussed & studied before final action is taken & a close approximation made to unanimity if possible. Still I dont see that one or two objectors should have the right to kill all action of the club in this or any other matter. ^{merely belonging to it,} ^{is also held by Prof. Secor or was} Davidson's objection had no more force to me than ^{any praise to the Federal} but how they can consistently ^{as to the} a last years empty fine cone. ^{of the National Parks, & the} ^{Secretary's objection, it seemed to} ^{regard the same management of its as increasing} ^{the largely political} ^{to the State. I can't see for my part in favor of Cal. & promoter of} ^{which same has the} ^{in all of Cal. & more} ^{several} ^{of our members get out of it} ^{the latter.}

first importance, but now it has been raised I shall insist on getting it squarely before the club. I had given up the question as a bad job, but so many of our members have urged it lately I now regard its discussion as duty of the club. What the Commissioners or Sacramento may say I know no care to consider. Ever Yrs John Muir