



10-29-1969

## Pacifican, October 29, 1969

University of the Pacific

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/pacifican>

---

### Recommended Citation

University of the Pacific, "Pacifican, October 29, 1969" (1969). *All Issues - Student Newspaper, The Pacifican, Pacific Weekly*. 1869.

<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/pacifican/1869>

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Newspaper, The Pacifican, Pacific Weekly at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Issues - Student Newspaper, The Pacifican, Pacific Weekly by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [mgibney@pacific.edu](mailto:mgibney@pacific.edu).





# PACIFICAN

Vol. 69, No. 8

UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC, Stockton, California 95204

October 29, 1969

## Advisory Board Tackles Football

by Bob McLean

On Tuesday Oct. 21, the Advisory Board on Athletic Policy reported to the faculty, students and administration the findings of their board with regard to the "issues, problems, and opportunities facing UOP in its future intercollegiate policies." The report was made at a faculty meeting which was open to students and administration. The turnout was sufficient to fill the rotunda and about half of those who did turn out were students.

This meeting's purpose was merely to report the issues involved. The idea was to encourage suggestions from the faculty and students before any recommendations are made. The board revealed financial data concerning athletics, projected program possibilities, and the results of an opinion questionnaire. This report is the culmination of a year's work.

The report was presented by Dr. Douglas Moore, Chairman of the Advisory Board. Dr. Burns and Financial Vice President Winterberg were expected, but they were detained and did not show up.

The initial conclusion of the board was that due to the complexity of our athletic problems, a "clean statement of policy, based upon a well-defined rationale" is an immediate necessity. Specific recommendations on this policy are due from the board by November 20.

### POLL RESULTS

The bulk of the report was made up of the results and interpretations of an opinion survey on athletic policy made last spring. The survey covered the students, faculty and alumni. The majority of these groups thought that the cost of the athletic program should be considered only in relation to the total university budget. It was pointed out that a university is a non-profit organization in which most if not all of its departments will operate at a deficit. Most of those polled considered the value of the athletics at UOP to be in providing a well rounded educational experience.

The prevailing influence upon future athletic policy should be the emerging new directions in university philosophy, according to the results of the questionnaire. Other criteria, such as past history and the practices of other universities, were also shown to be important in

the minds of those questioned. The results of one question showed that 39% of the faculty agreed that intercollegiate football should be eliminated altogether at UOP, whereas the students were not as conducive to the idea; only 12% of the students felt that football should be done away with entirely.

When asked how much the university should spend for the total intercollegiate athletic budget, the bulk of those responding thought the current amount to be less than \$75,000. Last year the University spent \$264,000 on intercollegiate athletics, not including scholarships or coaches' salaries. When asked "who should pay for athletics?" the common response was to continue funding from the general university budget, or derive funds from other sources as the Quarterback Club.

### OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The board will discuss other considerations before they make their recommendations. Those include determining the basis upon which athletic policy should be decided, a statement of the university's philosophy if one is ever developed, and financial considerations such as the relative deficit of the athletic department to the total university budget.

The university last year awarded \$178,000 in full grants for athletics. On top of this, between \$12,000 and \$13,000 is spent each year in recruiting football and basketball players. Dr. Gwen Brown pointed out that this is compared to a recruitment budget of \$0 for any equivalent department of the university.

Football has been the major concern of the critics of athletic policy due to its heavy burden on the athletic budget. In an article published in the Pacifican last May 9, Dr. Dempsey, head of the Athletic Department, was quoted as saying that "football, once the bread winner, no longer pays the freight for athletic programs." At the same time, however, Dempsey pointed out that "football doesn't eat up the budget like it used to."

One of the most outspoken critics of football has been Dr. John Morearty of Callison College. Recently his opposition reached a peak with the formation of SPS. Morearty has disengaged himself from this cam-

### SURPRISE

## Vietnam Deaths Up

WASHINGTON — (CPS) — As of the first of October, 38,823 Americans had died in the War in Vietnam since this country began its involvement in 1961. More than 250,000 Americans have been injured.

The deaths included: 20,542 from the Army, 932 from the Navy, 10,840 from the Marine Corps, and 384 from the Air Force, for a total of 32,698 in combat deaths. The Defense Department says the "other Free World forces" have lost 3,344 lives, the South Vietnamese armed forces 94,837 lives, and North Vietnam 556,629 lives. Using Defense Department figures, 693,633 persons have died in the Vietnam War since the U.S. became involved.

### LONDON GRAFICA ARTS SHOW THURSDAY

London Grafica Arts will be presenting a collection of original graphics in an exhibition and sale at the Anderson 'Y' Lounge, on Thursday, November 6, from 10 a.m. to 7 p.m.

This collection of prints is making a tour of colleges and universities throughout the country enabling students, faculty, and members of the community to view about 500 works seen usually only in major galleries or museums.

A wide range of the history of the prints is covered from 16th century manuscript pages; old masters, such as Rembrandt and Durer; 18th, 19th and 20th century examples; modern masters—Picasso, Braque, Chagall; and a wide range of contemporary prints commissioned especially by London Arts including editions by Calder, Alechinsky, Vasary and other contemporary masters.

All prints are available for purchase at prices ranging from \$10 to \$3,000.

The London Arts representative will be on hand and is well informed and most eager to answer questions about the graphic arts in general.

paign due to faculty and administration disapproval. In a letter to President Burns he said that the purpose of the campaign was to bring the attention of the students and faculty to the absurdity of our expenditures on football.

He suggested that the university give up the attitude that football is a "sacred sport" and channel football money into programs more relevant to education. Morearty warned that unless moves were taken to redirect the priorities of this university, student-initiated violence might result.

## What Ever Did Happen To L.U.V.?

by Tom Taber

Remember LUV? It's that campaign kicked off here last January by Joey Bishop to lower the voting age to 18.

Though LUV (Let Us Vote) is still alive, it isn't kicking quite as much as last year.

The movement had its headquarters in the PSA offices until last February when it was decided that the goal of lowering the voting age could best be met by turning LUV into a non-profit corporation.

Dennis Warren, Chairman of LUV, said that "LUV is becoming so big it is essential that it become separate from the University." The organization of the movement changed when LUV became governed by an executive board and an executive committee.

Warren moved the organization off campus and into a beauty shop basement as the message of LUV spread to high schools and colleges across the nation.

By March, the momentum of the movement began to slow down. UOP settled down to its normal state of apathy and LUV began to suffer from a serious shortage of volunteer help.

Though LUV has lost the enthusiasm and vitality it once had, it is still very much alive. Unlike last year, Warren is now taking a full load of classes. LUV is now a part time activity with Warren.

The national LUV headquarters have been moved from the basement of a beauty shop to an annex of Warren's home.

Today the only volunteer help LUV receives is that of Warren, Pam Moore and three high school students. Though the staff seems small, Warren admits that "there isn't that much work to be done in Stockton."

The movement to lower the franchise maintains two primary goals: a state or national constitutional amendment to lower the voting age, and re-establishing the constructive image of American youth.

Warren proudly said that partly because of LUV, the issue of lowering the voting age will be put on the ballot in 12 states in 1969 and 1970 elections—though he admitted that this achievement was "not strictly due to LUV."

LUV is now working mainly through a coalition of groups of which Warren is on the Board of Directors. This coalition consists of 22 groups including the NEA, the Association for Student Teachers, the

## Panel on Student Rights and Freedoms

Deans Betz, Davis, Williams, Preceptors Crowley, Grant, Key, Moderator—Donald MacIntyre

Thursday 4:00 p.m.

DeMarcus Brown Theater Rotunda

NAACP, the AFL-CIO, the Young Republicans, the Young Democrats, the NSA, and the Urban League.

During the summer, LUV was honored with a resolution of commendation which was passed by the Assembly and presented by Assembly Speaker Bob Monogan. This November 17, Warren will testify before the Assembly Constitutional Revision Committee.

Warren admitted that LUV may try to get the voting age issue on the 1970 California ballot. To do this, LUV will have to acquire the needed 500,000 signatures.

## Student Rights Discussion Thursday

A panel discussion regarding student rights and freedoms is scheduled for this Thursday at 4 p.m. in the Rotunda. The varied reactions of six faculty members to the American Association of University Professors' policy statement on student rights will be aired.

Scheduled to engage in the discussion, which is this chapter's first meeting, of the A.A.U.P. are Deans Betz, Davis, and Williams, and Preceptors Crowley, Grant, and Key. Hopefully, however, theirs will not be the only voices heard, for the active participation of the audience is encouraged.

### FREE EXPRESSION

The A.A.U.P.'s published statement on student freedoms professes the belief that academic institutions should encourage free expression. They feel that this is a vital part of the student's search for truth and that the only way to the acquisition of knowledge is thru free inquiry. The type of free inquiry that occurs in the classroom will not only be discussed on Thursday, but will also be exercised with the help of an interested, active audience.

## TIGER GUIDE

OCTOBER 29-NOVEMBER 2

Wednesday, Oct. 29

6:30 and 9:00 — Anderson Y Film Festival, A PATCH OF BLUE, \$75

2:00 — Soccer, UOP vs Chico State, Knoles' Field. Also JV game

Thursday, Oct. 30

Phi Kappa Tau Rush Dinner 4:00 — AAUP meeting, Demarcus Brown Theatre (Rotunda)



*"Our Message Is The Media"*

NOW THE PACIFICAN GETS IT

SAID FOR YOU . . . . .

STARTING WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 12

# Unclassified Ads

EXAMPLE



MOVING: No job too large or too small. Call us for free estimates. Expert packing, crating shipping. P. O. Box 2, Burns' Tower

BUY

BARTER

BORROW

BEG

BESTOW

Bring or Mail **CORRECT AMOUNT**  
Pacifican Office  
3rd Floor—North Hall

## CLASSIFIED RATES

Minimum Three Lines One Day	One Day	Two Days	Three Days	Four Days
3 Lines	1.00	1.75	2.50	3.00
4 Lines	1.50	2.25	3.00	3.50
5 Lines	2.00	2.75	3.50	4.00
6 Lines	2.50	3.25	4.00	4.50
Add this amount for each addi- tional line	.50	.50	.50	.50

No refunds on cancelled ads. Print your ad here:  
(Count approximately 33 letters and spaces for each line)

Print Name \_\_\_\_\_ For \_\_\_\_\_ Days \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_ Enclosed is \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_

SEND CHECK, MONEY ORDER OR CASH TO: **PACIFICAN,**  
**UNCLASSIFIED ADS DEPT., U.O.P., STOCKTON, 95204**