



1795

# Dilucidationes super formulis, quibus sinus et cosinus angulorum multiplorum exprimi solent, ubi simul ingentes difficultates diluuntur

Leonhard Euler

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/euler-works>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Record Created:

2018-09-25

---

## Recommended Citation

Euler, Leonhard, "Dilucidationes super formulis, quibus sinus et cosinus angulorum multiplorum exprimi solent, ubi simul ingentes difficultates diluuntur" (1795). *Euler Archive - All Works*. 686.  
<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/euler-works/686>

DILVCIDATIONES  
SVPER FORMVLIS,  
QVIBVS  
SINVS ET COSINVS ANGVLORVM  
MVLTIPLORVM EXPRIMI SOLENT,  
VBI SIMVL INGENTES DIFFICVLTATES  
DILVVNTVR.

Audore

L. EVLERO.

Conuentui exhib. die 6 Mart. 1777.

§. I.

Proposito angulo quocunque  $\phi$ , si eius cosinus vocetur  
 $= \frac{1}{2}x$ , vt sit  $2 \cos \phi = x$ , constat tam sinus quam co-  
sinus angulorum multiplorum constituere progressionem re-  
currentem, cuius scala relationis est  $x, -1$ ; erit enim  
fin.  $(n+1)\phi = x \sin n\phi - \sin(n-1)\phi$ ,  
 $\cos(n+1)\phi = x \cos n\phi - \cos(n-1)\phi$ .

Incipiamus a posteriori formula, et quoniam dupla co-  
sinuum eandem legem seruant, hinc sequens tabula con-  
struatur:

$2 \cos$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 0 \Phi = x^2,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 1 \Phi = x,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 2 \Phi = x^2 - 2,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 3 \Phi = x^3 - 3x,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 4 \Phi = x^4 - 4xx + 2,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 5 \Phi = x^5 - 5x^3 - 5x,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 6 \Phi = x^6 - 6x^4 + 9xx - 2,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 7 \Phi = x^7 - 7x^5 + 14x^3 - 7x,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 8 \Phi = x^8 - 8x^6 + 20x^4 - 16xx + 2,$$

$$2 \text{ cof. } 9 \Phi = x^9 - 9x^7 + 27x^5 - 30x^3 + 9x,$$

etc.

§. 2. In his formulis manifestum est primos terminos esse potestates ipsius  $x$  eiusdem exponentis, cuius multiplo proponitur; hinc vero exponentes continuo binario decrescere; tum vero signa terminorum alternari; praeterea vero coëfficiens secundi termini semper aequalis est ipsi multiplo; quod autem ad sequentes coëfficientes attinet, lex, qua progrediuntur, ita se habet, ut sequens forma ostendit:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{ cof. } n \Phi = & x^n - nx^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)x^{n-4}}{1. 2.} - \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)x^{n-6}}{1. 2. 3.} \\ & + \frac{n(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)x^{n-8}}{1. 2. 3. 4.} - \frac{n(n-6)(n-7)(n-8)(n-9)x^{n-10}}{1. 2. 3. 4. 5.} \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

cuius formulae summus est usus in cosinibus angulorum multiplorum quantumuis magnorum expedite assignandis. Veluti si proponatur duodecuplum anguli  $\Phi$ , hinc statim obtinetur sequens expressio:

2 cof.

$$2 \operatorname{cof.} 12 \Phi = x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 54x^8 - 112x^6 \\ + 105x^4 - 36xx + 2,$$

cuius veritas facile comprobatur, cum sit

$$2 \operatorname{cof.} 12 \Phi = (2 \operatorname{cof.} 6 \Phi)^2 - 2.$$

§. 3. Quanquam autem haec formula maximum praefstat usum in multiplicatione angulorum, tamen secundum rigorem geometricum neutiquam affirmari potest, eam generaliter veritati esse consentaneam, quandoquidem pluribus casibus maxime a veritate recedit. Quodsi enim sumamus  $n = 0$ , ista formula praebet  $2 \operatorname{cof.} 0 \Phi = 1$ , cum tamen eius valor sit 2. Multo magis formula aberrare deprehenditur, si indici  $n$  valores negatiui tribuantur: posito enim  $n = -1$ , inde prodiret

$$2 \operatorname{cof.} -\Phi = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{2}{x^5} + \frac{5}{x^7} + \frac{14}{x^9} + \frac{42}{x^{11}} + \text{etc.}$$

in infinitum, quae expressio manifesto est falsissima, cum sit

$$2 \operatorname{cof.} -\Phi = 2 \operatorname{cof.} \Phi = x,$$

illius vero seriei summa  $= \frac{x}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{xx}{4} - 1\right)}$ , quae igitur plurimum a veritate discrepat, sicque aberratio formulae inuentae pro omnibus numeris negatiuis clarissime in oculos incurrit.

§. 4. Non solum autem haec formula pro numeris negatiuis fallit, sed etiam pro positivis, siquidem tota expressio euoluatur, sumto enim  $n = 1$ , hinc nanciscemur istam seiem:

$$2 \operatorname{cof.} \Phi = x - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{2}{x^5} - \frac{5}{x^7} - \frac{14}{x^9} - \text{etc.}$$

quae ergo omnibus terminis post primum sequentibus a veritate recedit: vera autem summa huius expressionis est

$$\frac{x}{2} +$$

$\sqrt[4]{(x^2 - 1)^n}$ . Simili modo pro casu  $n = 2$  ista formula  
praebet

$$2 \text{ cof. } 2 \Phi = x^2 - 2 - \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{2}{x^4} - \frac{5}{x^6} - \frac{14}{x^8} - \frac{42}{x^{10}} - \text{etc.}$$

vbi duo tantum primi termini veritati sunt conformes, sequentes omnes vero superflui atque adeo veritati contrarii. Hinc igitur intelligitur formulam illam pro  $2 \text{ cof. } n \Phi$  datum, siquidem in infinitum continuetur, semper in immenses errores praecipitare; fique omnis eius usus tantum restringitur ad numeros integros positivos, vbi insuper caveri debet, ne series ultra terminos integros continuetur, quandoquidem nulli termini, vbi  $x$  in denominatores ingreditur, locum habere possunt.

§. 5. Quod autem ad numeros fractos attinet, nullo plane modo ista series cum veritate conciliari se patitur. Quodsi enim ponamus  $n = \frac{1}{2}$ , ista formula nobis praebbit

$$2 \text{ cof. } \frac{1}{2} \Phi = \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{2x\sqrt{x}} - \frac{5}{8x^2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{21}{16x^3\sqrt{x}} - \text{etc.}$$

Cum vero sit

$$\text{cof. } \frac{1}{2} \Phi = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \text{cof. } \Phi}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2+x}{4}},$$

erit  $2 \text{ cof. } \frac{1}{2} \Phi = \sqrt{(2+x)}$ , quae autem expressio in se-  
niem conuersa ab illa plurimum differt, cum sit

$$\sqrt{(x+2)} = \sqrt{x} + \frac{1}{2x\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{2x^2x\sqrt{x}} - \text{etc.}$$

Ex his iam manifestum est formulam inuentam, non obstante summo eius usu, nullo modo tanquam veritati consentaneam admitti posse; vnde haec quaestio maximi momenti nascitur: cur ista formula a veritate ita aberret, ut certis tamen casibus ad veritatem perducat?

§. 6. Idem prorsus evenit in formula generali pro finibus angulorum multiplorum tradi solita. Si enim ponamus  $2 \text{ fin. } \Phi = y$ , quoniam etiamnunc eadem scala relationis  $x, - 1$  valet, finus angulorum multiplorum sequenti modo progredientur:

$$2 \text{ fin. } 0 \Phi = 0,$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 1 \Phi = y,$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 2 \Phi = y \cdot x,$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 3 \Phi = y(xx - 1),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 4 \Phi = y(x^3 - 2x),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 5 \Phi = y(x^4 - 3xx + 1),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 6 \Phi = y(x^5 - 4x^3 + 3x),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 7 \Phi = y(x^6 - 5x^4 + 6xx - 1),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 8 \Phi = y(x^7 - 6x^5 + 10x^3 - 4x),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 9 \Phi = y(x^8 - 7x^6 + 15x^4 - 10xx + 1),$$

$$2 \text{ fin. } 10 \Phi = y(x^9 - 8x^7 + 21x^5 - 20x^3 + 5x).$$

etc.

etc.

Hic scilicet  $y$  ab  $x$  ita pendet, vt sit  $y = \sqrt[4]{(4 - xx)}$ .

§. 7. Contemplatio harum formularum simili modo vt ante pro angulo indefinito  $n\Phi$  sequentem suppeditabit formulam generalem:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{ fin. } n \Phi &= y(x^{n-1} - \frac{(n-2)}{1}x^{n-3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{1.2}x^{n-5} \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-4)(n-5)(n-6)}{1.2.3}x^{n-7} + \frac{(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)(n-8)}{1.2.3.4}x^{n-9} \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-6)(n-7)(n-8)(n-9)(n-10)}{1.2.3.4.5}x^{n-11} + \text{etc.}) \end{aligned}$$

Haec autem formula cum veritate confistere nequit, nisi ita restrinatur, vt primo tantum ad numeros integros positivos pro  $n$  assumendos applicetur; deinde vt termini non  
vlt-

ulterius continentur, quam quoad ad exponentes negati-  
vos ipsius  $x$  perteniantur. Ita sumendo  $n = 12$  hinc repe-  
nitur:

$$2 \sin 12\Phi = y(x) = 10x^9 + 36x^7 - 56x^5 + 35x^3 - 6x.$$

§. 8. Cum igitur ambae istae formulae generales tam pro sinibus, quam cosinibus angulorum multiplorum dari solitae tam enormiter a veritate dissentiant, hinc qua-  
mo nascitur maximi momenti: quomodo hi errores euitari atque eiusmodi series erui debeant, quae cum veritate per-  
petuo, atque omnibus plane casibus perfecte consentiant? Tales igitur series ex ipsis Analyseos principiis hic inuo-  
tare constitui. Vocabo igitur  $\cos \Phi = z$  et  $\cos n\Phi = s$ ,  
et in seriem per potestates ipsius  $z$  procedentem inquiram,  
quae verum valorem ipsius  $s$  exhibeat, quicunque numeri,  
sive positivi, sive negativi, sive integri, sive fracti pro  $n$   
subsituantur.

§. 9. Cum igitur sit  $z = \cos \Phi$ , erit  $\partial \Phi = \frac{-\partial z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$ ;  
similique modo, cum sit  $s = \cos n\Phi$ , erit  $n \partial \Phi = \frac{-n \partial s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}$ ,  
vnde sequitur fore  $\frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} = \frac{n \partial z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$ . Haec vero eadem  
aequatio prodiisset, si litterae  $z$  et  $s$  designassent sinus an-  
gulorum  $\Phi$  et  $n\Phi$ ; si enim altera sinus, altera cosinus  
significasset, prodiisset  $\frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} = \frac{-n \partial z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}$ . Hanc obrem si  
quadrata sumamus, haec aequatio:  $\frac{\partial s^2}{1-s^2} = \frac{n n \partial z^2}{1-z^2}$ , omnes  
illas varietates in se complectetur. Consideremus igitur  
hanc aequationem differentialem:

$$\partial s^2 (1-z^2) = n n \partial z^2 (1-s^2),$$

ex qua quo commodius series pro  $s$  erui queat, eam de-  
nuo differentiemus, sumto elemento  $\partial z$  constante, sicque

nanciscemur hanc aequationem differentialem secundi gradus:

$$\partial \partial s (1 - z z) - z \partial z \partial s + n n s \partial z^2 = 0,$$

quae latissimo sensu omnia in se complebitur, quae tam circa sinus quam cosinus angulorum multiplorum desiderari possunt. Hanc igitur aequationem omni cura pertrademus, ac primo quidem fine vlo respedu ad doctrinam angularium.

### Problema I.

*Propofita aequatione differentiali secundi gradus*

$$\partial \partial s (1 - z z) - z \partial z \partial s + n n s \partial z^2 = 0,$$

*cius integrale completum per duplarem integrationem inuestigare.*

### Solutio.

§. 10. Hic statim patet, hanc aequationem integrabilem fieri, si ducatur in  $\partial s$ ; multiplicetur igitur in  $z \partial s$  et integrale erit

$$\partial s^2 (1 - z z) + n n s s \partial z^2 = C \partial z^2.$$

Ex hac aequatione deducimus  $\partial s^2 = \frac{\partial z^2 (C - n n s s)}{1 - z z}$ , quae formula ita reprezentetur:  $\partial s^2 = \frac{\partial z^2 (n n s s - C)}{z z - 1}$ , ex qua eruitur:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{n n s s - C}} = \frac{\partial z}{\sqrt{z z - 1}}.$$

Cum igitur sit

$$\int \frac{\partial z}{\sqrt{z z - 1}} = l [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}] \text{ et}$$

$$\int \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{n n s s - C}} = \frac{1}{n} l [n s + \sqrt{(n n s s - C)}],$$

nostra aequatio erit

$$\frac{1}{n} l [n s + \sqrt{(n n s s - C)}] = l [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}] + l D.$$

Multiplicemus per  $n$  et ad numeros ascendendo reperiemus

$$n s + \sqrt{(n n s s - C)} = D [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n.$$

§. II.

Quo nunc hinc valorem, ipsius  $s$  eruamus, ponamus brevitatis gratia:  $n s + \sqrt{(n n s s - C)} = Q - n s$ , vt fit.

$$Q = Dz + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}^n, \text{ eritque}$$

$$\sqrt{(n n s s - C)} = Q - n s, \text{ vnde elicitur:}$$

$$\frac{Q s + C}{2^n Q} = \frac{Q}{2^n} + \frac{C}{2^n Q}.$$

Quodsi iam forma constantium arbitrariarum immutetur, valor integralis completus quantitatis  $s$  per variabilem  $z$  ita concinne exprimi poterit:

$$s = f [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n + g [z - \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n,$$

quae forma etiam hoc modo exhiberi potest:

$$s = f [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n + g [z - \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n.$$

### Alia solutio succinctior.

**§. 12.** Quanquam hic integrale completum quaerimus, tamen sufficiet bina integralia particularia inuestigasse. Quoniam enim in aequatione propofita variabilis  $s$  vbi que unica tantum habet dimensionem, si ei satisfaciant valores  $s = p$  et  $s = q$ , etiam satisfaciet valor  $s = p + q$ , atque adeo in genere  $s = f p + g q$ , denotantibus litteris  $f$  et  $g$  constantes quascunque. Hoc obseruato negligatur confians in prima integratione adiecta, eritque  $\partial s^2 = \frac{n n s s \partial z^2}{z z - 1}$ , ideoque  $\frac{\partial s}{s} = \frac{n \partial z}{\sqrt{(z z - 1)}}$ , hincque porro fit

$$s = [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n.$$

**§. 13.** Quia in aequationem differentialem tantum quadratum  $n$  ingreditur, cuius radix aequa est  $-n$  ac  $+n$ , integrale quoque particolare erit

$$s = [z + \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n = [z - \sqrt{(z z - 1)}]^n,$$

sicque duo habemus integralia particularia, per litteras  $p$  et  $q$  designata, ex iis igitur conflatur istud integrale completum:

$$s = f[z + \sqrt{(zz - 1)}]^n + g[z - \sqrt{(zz - 1)}]^n.$$

### Problema 2.

*Eiusdem aequationis differentialis secundi gradus:*

$\partial \partial s (1 - zz) - z \partial z \partial s + nn s \partial z^2 = 0,$   
integrale completum per seriem infinitam exprimere, cuius termini per potestates ipsius  $z$  descendendo progrediantur.

### Solutio.

§. 14. Quaerimus igitur pro valore litterae  $s$  seriem, cuius singuli termini sint potestates ipsius  $z$ , quorum exponentes continuo decrescant. Ex ipsa autem aequationis propofitae forma facile concludere licet, istos exponentes continuo binario diminui debere, propterea quod in hac aequatione variabilis  $z$  cum suo differentiali  $\partial z$  in singulis terminis vel nullam, vel duas habet dimensiones; vnde si primus terminus contineat potestatem  $z^\lambda$ , sequentes termini potestates  $z^{\lambda-2}$ ;  $z^{\lambda-4}$ ;  $z^{\lambda-6}$ ; etc. continebunt, ita ut series, quam quaerimus, talem sit habitura formam:

$$s = Az^\lambda - Bz^{\lambda-2} + Cz^{\lambda-4} - Dz^{\lambda-6} + Ez^{\lambda-8} - Fz^{\lambda-10} + \text{etc.}$$

vbi ergo totum negotium huc reddit, ut valores singulorum coëfficientium rite determinemus.

§. 15. Ante omnia autem hic inuestigari oportet primum exponentem  $\lambda$ , a quo haec series fit incipienda, qui ita comparatus esse debet, ut facta substitutione coëfientes primi termini sponte se destruant. Ad istum exponentem inueniendum sufficiet tantum duos terminos priores

res considerasse, scilicet  $s = Az^\lambda - Bz^{\lambda-2}$ , quae substitutio  
statim facilius fore queat, ipsam aequationem propositam, per  
 $\frac{d}{dz^2}$  dividendo, ita referamus:

$$\frac{d}{dz^2} \left( Az^\lambda - Bz^{\lambda-2} + nn s \right) = 0,$$

et cum iam sit

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( Az^\lambda \right) = (\lambda - 1) Bz^{\lambda-3} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( Bz^{\lambda-2} \right) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) Az^{\lambda-3} - (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) Bz^{\lambda-4},$$

facta substitutione fieri debet:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} -\lambda(\lambda - 1) Az^{\lambda-3} + \lambda(\lambda - 1) Az^{\lambda-2} \\ -\lambda Az^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) Bz^{\lambda-4} \\ + nn Az^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda - 2) Bz^{\lambda-4} \\ - nn Bz^{\lambda-2} \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

Hic ergo ante omnia coëfficiens primi termini  $Az^\lambda$  nihilo  
aequalis statui debet, sicque fiet  $-\lambda(\lambda - 1) - \lambda + nn = 0$ ,  
ideoque  $\lambda\lambda = nn$ , vnde duo valores pro  $\lambda$  obtinentur, scili-  
cet vel  $\lambda = +n$  vel  $\lambda = -n$ .

§. 16. Quoniam igitur pro  $\lambda$  geminum nati sumus  
valorem, hinc pro quantitate  $s$  duas eruemus series infini-  
tas, quae iundim sumtae valorem integralem completem  
expriment, namque verus iste valor ita exhibebitur:

$$s = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Az^n - Bz^{n-2} + Cz^{n-4} - Dz^{n-6} + Ez^{n-8} - \text{etc.} \\ + \mathfrak{A}z^{-n} - \mathfrak{B}z^{-n-2} + \mathfrak{C}z^{-n-4} - \mathfrak{D}z^{-n-6} + \mathfrak{E}z^{-n-8} - \text{etc.} \end{array} \right.$$

et quoniam facta substitutione pro vtraque serie coëfficientes  
primorum terminorum  $Az^n$  et  $\mathfrak{A}z^{-n}$  sponte se tollunt, binae  
litterae  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  non determinabuntur, sed penitus arbitrio  
nostro relinquuntur, ideoque vicem gerent binarum constan-  
tium, quae per duplicem integrationem ingredi sunt censem-  
dae.

dae. Euidens porro est vtramque hanc seriem seorsim inuestigari posse; vnde solutio nostra duabus constabit partibus.

### I. Inuestigatio seriei prioris

$$s = A z^n - B z^{n-2} + C z^{n-4} - D z^{n-6} + E z^{n-8} \text{ etc.}$$

§. 17. Quo commodius huius seriei coëfficientes determinemus, aequationem propositam mutatis signis ita repreäsentemus:

$$\frac{z z \partial s}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial \partial s}{\partial z^2} + \frac{z \partial s}{\partial z} - n n s = 0,$$

et cum sit

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial z} = n A z^{n-1} - (n-2) B z^{n-3} + (n-4) C z^{n-5} - (n-6) D z^{n-7} \text{ etc.}$$

et

$$\frac{\partial \partial s}{\partial z^2} = n(n-1) A z^{n-2} - (n-2)(n-3) B z^{n-4} + (n-4)(n-5) C z^{n-6} \text{ etc.}$$

ordinetur substitutio sequenti modo:

$$\frac{z z \partial s}{\partial z^2} = n(n-1) A z^{n-2} - (n-2)(n-3) B z^{n-4} + (n-4)(n-5) C z^{n-6} \text{ etc.}$$

$$-\frac{\partial \partial s}{\partial z^2} = -(n)(n-1) A z^{n-2} + (n-2)(n-3) B z^{n-4} \text{ etc.}$$

$$+\frac{z \partial s}{\partial z} = n A z^n - (n-2) B z^{n-2} + (n-4) C z^{n-4} \text{ etc.}$$

$$-n n s = -n n A z^n + n n B z^{n-2} - n n C z^{n-4} \text{ etc.}$$

$$0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(n-2)^2 B z^{n-2} + (n-4)^2 C z^{n-4} - (n-6)^2 D z^{n-6} \\ + n n B - n n C + n n D \\ -n(n-1) A + (n-2)(n-3) B - (n-4)(n-5) C \end{array} \right\} \text{ etc.}$$

§. 18. Nunc igitur quenlibet coëfficientem per suum antecedentem fatis concinne determinare licebit; erit enim

$$B = \frac{n(n-1) A}{2 \cdot 2(n-1)} = \frac{n A}{4},$$

$$C = \frac{(n-2)(n-3) B}{n n - (n-4)^2} = \frac{(n-2)(n-3) B}{4 \cdot 2(n-2)} = \frac{(n-3) B}{8},$$

$$D =$$

$$D = \frac{(n-4)(n-5)C}{n(n-(n-6)^2} = \frac{(n-4)(n-5)C}{12(n-3)};$$

$$E = \frac{(n-6)(n-7)D}{n(n-(n-8)^2} = \frac{(n-6)(n-7)D}{16(n-4)};$$

$$F = \frac{(n-8)(n-9)E}{20(n-5)} \text{ etc.}$$

etc.

Hinc igitur omnes coëfficientes per primum A sequenti modo determinantur:

$$B = \frac{n}{4} A;$$

$$C = \frac{n(n-3)}{4 \cdot 8} A;$$

$$D = \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12} A;$$

$$E = \frac{n(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16} A;$$

$$F = \frac{n(n-6)(n-7)(n-8)(n-9)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16 \cdot 20} A; \text{ etc.}$$

ficque prior series valorem ipsius s exhibens erit:

$$S = A(z^n - \frac{n}{4}z^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{4 \cdot 8}z^{n-4} - \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12}z^{n-6} + \\ + \frac{n(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16}z^{n-8} - \text{etc.})$$

## II. Inuestigatio seriei posterioris.

$$2A z^{-n} - 3z^{-n-2} + Cz^{-n-4} - Dz^{-n-6} + Ez^{-n-8} - Fz^{-n-10} + \text{etc.}$$

§. 19. Determinatio coëfficientium huius seriei simili prorsus modo institui potest, quo praecedentem elicuimus, neque tamén opus est omnes operationes hic repetere; nam quia totum discriminem harum duarum serierum in solo signo numeri  $n$  consiftit, quo ipsa aequatio proposita non afficitur, singularum litterarum Germanicarum valores immediate ex Latinis deriuare licebit, si modo in iis loco  $n$  scribatur  $-n$ , ficque obtinebimus:

$$B = -\frac{n}{4} A;$$

$$C = +\frac{n(n+3)}{4 \cdot 8} A;$$

$$D = -\frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12} A;$$

$$E =$$

$$\mathfrak{E} = + \frac{n(n+5)(n+6)(n+7)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16} \mathfrak{A};$$

$$\mathfrak{F} = - \frac{n(n+6)(n+7)(n+8)(n+9)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16 \cdot 20} \mathfrak{A}; \text{ etc.}$$

§. 20. Ex his ergo valor integralis completus quantitatis  $s$  ex duabus sequentibus seriebus infinitis componetur:

$$s = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(z^n - \frac{n}{4}z^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{4 \cdot 8}z^{n-4} - \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12}z^{n-6} + \text{etc.}) \\ + \mathfrak{A}(z^{-n} + \frac{n}{4}z^{-n-2} + \frac{n(n+3)}{4 \cdot 8}z^{-n-4} + \frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12}z^{-n-6} + \text{etc.}) \end{array} \right.$$

### Problema 3.

Quoniam valorem completum ipsius  $s$  duplice modo expressum inuenimus, alterum scilicet per duplice integrationem in problemate 1. alterum per duas series infinitas in problemate 2. constantes arbitrarias ita determinare, ut duae illae expressiones inter se consentiant.

### Solutio.

§. 21. In problemate primo binas constantes arbitrarias per litteras  $f$  et  $g$  designauimus, vbi inuenimus hunc valorem:

$$s = f(z + \sqrt{[zz - 1]})^n + g(z + \sqrt{[zz - 1]})^{-n},$$

in praecedente vero problemate per binas series infinitas inuenimus esse:

$$s = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(z^n - \frac{n}{4}z^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{4 \cdot 8}z^{n-4} - \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12}z^{n-6} + \text{etc.}) \\ + \mathfrak{A}(z^{-n} + \frac{n}{4}z^{-n-2} + \frac{n(n+3)}{4 \cdot 8}z^{-n-4} + \frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12}z^{-n-6} + \text{etc.}) \end{array} \right.$$

vbi binæ constantes arbitrariae in litteris  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  continentur. Quaeritur ergo, quomodo has litteras  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  per  $f$  et  $g$  definiri oporteat, ut hæc duæ expressiones inter se consentientes reddantur?

§. 22. Perpetuo autem, quoties de constantibus arbitriis definiendis quaestio mouetur, ad casum quempiam specialem est respiciendum, quo valor per seriem expressus euadat cognitus, quandoquidem, si constantes unico casui spe-

speciali satisfaciunt, eorum valor rite erit determinatus. Hanc ob rem, dispiciamus, an non quispiam casus specialis detur, quo bini valores innotescant. Consideremus igitur primam formam finitam, ac manifestum quidem est, ibi casum  $z = 0$  in hunc finem adhiberi non posse, propterea quod iste valor ad imaginaria rediret. Deinde vero etiam binae series, positio  $z = \infty$ , partim terminis evanescientibus, partim infinitis contabunt, sive ex hoc casu nihil plane concludi potest.

§. 23. Casus autem  $z = 1$  aliquid polliceri videtur; cum enim prior forma finita praebet  $s = f + g$ ; at vero posito  $z = 1$  ambae series nihilo minus in infinitum excurrunt, ita ut earum valores nobis neutquam euadant cogniti; quamobrem eiusmodi casu nobis erit opus, quo binae series inuentae definant in infinitum excurrere, et omnes termini prae primis, quasi evanescant, ita ut terminos tantum primos considerasse sufficiat. Manifestum autem est in utraque serie, prae primo termino sequentes omnes esse evanituros, si ipsi  $z$  valor infinite magnus tribuatur. Statuamus igitur  $z = \infty$ , atque ex binis seriebus valor ipsius  $s$  pro hoc casu erit  $s = A \infty^n + \frac{g}{\infty^n}$ .

§. 24. Faciamus igitur etiam in priori forma finita  $z = \infty$ , et cum hoc casu sit  $\sqrt{(z z - 1)} = \infty$ , valor ipsius  $s$  hinc orietur:

$$s = f(2 \infty)^n + g(2 \infty)^{-n} = 2^n f \infty^n + \frac{g}{2^n \infty^n},$$

quam ergo expressionem cum ante inuenta, quae erat  $A \infty^n + \frac{g}{\infty^n}$ , congruere oportet, atque manifesto sequitur, hoc fieri, si statuamus  $A = 2^n f$  et  $\frac{g}{\infty^n}$ .

§. 25. Quodsi igitur valor completus finitus fuerit

$$s = f(z + \sqrt{zz - 1})^n + g(z + \sqrt{zz - 1})^{-n},$$

idem valor per duas sequentes series iundim sumtas exprimitur:

$$s = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f[(2z)^n - \frac{n}{1}(2z)^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2}(2z)^{n-4} - \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}(2z)^{n-6} \text{etc.}] \\ + g\left[ \frac{1}{(2z)^n} + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{(2z)^{n+2}} + \frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2z)^{n+4}} + \text{etc.} \right] \end{array} \right.$$

### Problema 4.

Si  $z$  denotet cosinum cuiuspiam anguli  $\Phi$ , vt sit  $z = \cos \Phi$ , inuestigare series pro cosinu anguli ncplici  $n \Phi$ .

### Solutio.

§. 26. Supra vidimus, si fuerit  $z = \cos \Phi$ , ac voceatur  $\cos n \Phi = s$ , tum relationem inter  $s$  et  $z$  per eam ipsam aequationem differentio-differentialem exprimi, quam hactenus tractauimus (vid. §. 9.). Necesse igitur est vt valor quae-  
futus  $\cos n \Phi$  in superioribus expressionibus, tam in finita, quam in infinita contineatur, totumque negotium huc reddit, vt binae constantes  $f$  et  $g$  rite pro hoc casu definiantur. At vero pro expressione finita, ob  $z = \cos \Phi$  et  $\sqrt{zz - 1} = \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi$  habebimus

$$s = f(\cos \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi)^n + g(\cos \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi)^{-n}.$$

Constat autem esse

$$(\cos \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi)^n = \cos n \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi \text{ et}$$

$$(\cos \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi)^{-n} = \cos n \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi,$$

sicque habebimus

$$s = (f + g) \cos n \Phi + (f - g) \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi,$$

quamobrem, vt prodeat  $s = \cos n \Phi$ , statui oportet  $f = g = \frac{1}{2}$ .

§. 27. Nunc igitur cognitis binis litteris  $f$  et  $g$ , quibus euadit  $\phi = \text{cof. } n \Phi$ , etiam ambas series infinitas exhibere poterimus, quibus coniunctis idem valor cof.  $n \Phi$  exprimitur. Scilicet cum sit  $f = g = \frac{1}{2}$ , multiplicemus vtrinque per 2, atque impetrabimus:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cof. } n \Phi &= \left\{ \frac{(2z)^n - \frac{n}{1} \cdot (2z)^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot (2z)^{n-4}}{(2z)^n} - \text{etc.} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{1} + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{1} + \frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2z)^{n+4}}}{(2z)^n} + \text{etc.} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

vbi est  $z = \text{cof. } \Phi$ . Hinc si, vti initio fecimus, vocemus  $x = 2 \cdot \text{cof. } \Phi$ , vt sit  $2z = x$ , vera expressio pro cof.  $n \Phi$  erit:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cof. } n \Phi &= \left\{ \frac{x^n - \frac{n}{1} \cdot x^{n-2} + \frac{n(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot x^{n-4}}{x^n} - \text{etc.} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{1} + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{1} + \frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+4}}}{x^n} + \text{etc.} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

§. 28. Omnes igitur defectus, quos supra circa formulam pro cof.  $n \Phi$  tradi solitam recensuimus, inde originem traxere, quod posterior series, quae potestates ipsius  $x$  in denominatoribus exhibet, neglegi solet: ea autem adiecta perpetuo pulcherrimus consensus cum veritate deprehendetur, quo scunque etiam numeros pro  $n$ , siue positios, siue negatiuos, siue integros siue fractos accipiamus. Ita si ponamus  $n = 0$ , hinc statim consequimur  $\text{cof. } 0 \Phi = 2$ . Deinde cum sit cof.  $-n \Phi = \text{cof. } n \Phi$ , haec conuenientia statim ex praesente forma elucet, quippe quae eadem manet, etiam si loco  $n$  scribatur  $-n$ .

§. 29. Examinemus nunc etiam aliquot casus simpliciores, ac primo quidem sumamus  $n = 1$  reperieturque:

$${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} \phi = \left\{ x - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{2}{x^5} - \frac{5}{x^7} - \frac{14}{x^9} - \frac{42}{x^{11}} - \text{etc.} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{2}{x^5} + \frac{5}{x^7} + \frac{14}{x^9} + \frac{42}{x^{11}} + \text{etc.} \right\} = x,$$

vbi series posterior manifesto terminos superfluos prioris tollit, ita vt prodeat  ${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} \phi = x$ . Ponamus etiam  $n = 2$  ac reperiemus:

$${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} {}^2 \phi = \left\{ xx - 2 - \frac{1}{xx} - \frac{2}{x^4} - \frac{5}{x^6} - \frac{14}{x^8} - \frac{42}{x^{10}} - \text{etc.} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{xx} + \frac{2}{x^4} + \frac{5}{x^6} + \frac{14}{x^8} + \frac{42}{x^{10}} + \text{etc.} \right\} = xx - 2.$$

Sit etiam  $n = 3$  ac reperiemus

$${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} {}^3 \phi = \left\{ x^3 - 3x + \frac{0}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{3}{x^5} - \frac{9}{x^7} - \frac{28}{x^9} - \text{etc.} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{3}{x^5} + \frac{9}{x^7} + \frac{28}{x^9} + \text{etc.} \right\} = x^3 - 3x.$$

§. 30. Ex his exemplis satis manifestum est quoties numerus  $n$  fuerit integer positivus, tum omnes terminos, quos supra tanquam inutiles reiicere iussimus, hic sponte per seriem posteriorem auferri. Praeterea vero hic nullum dubium supereffe potest, quin etiam pro omnibus numeris fradi loco  $n$  assumitis veritas sit proditura. Sit enim  $n = \frac{1}{2}$  ac fiet:

$${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} \frac{1}{2} \phi = \left\{ \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{2x\sqrt{x}} - \frac{5}{8x^3\sqrt{x}} - \frac{21}{16x^5\sqrt{x}} - \text{etc.} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{2x\sqrt{x}} + \frac{7}{8x^4\sqrt{x}} + \text{etc.} \right\},$$

hae duae autem series permixtae eam ipsam seriem producunt, quam supra §. 5. indicauimus.

### Theorema.

*Quoties  $n$  est numerus integer positivus, tum omnes termini radii prioris seriei a serie posteriore destruuntur, ita ut tantum remaneant termini integri prioris seriei, quibus adeo valor ipsius  ${}^2 \operatorname{cof.} n \phi$  exprimetur.*

De-

## Demonstratio.

§. 31. Contemplémur accuratius priorem seriem a termino  $x^n$  incipientem, in qua cum signa + et - alternentur, ne hinc sequens ratiocinatum turbetur, hanc seriem hoc modo repræsentemus:

$$[n-2] \frac{x^{n-2}}{1}, [n-4] \frac{x^{n-4}}{1.2}, [n-6] \frac{x^{n-6}}{1.2.3}, \dots \text{etc.}$$

Nunc autem breuitatis gratia singulos hos coëfficientes frequentibus characteribus designemus:

$$-[n-2]x^{n-2} - [n-4]x^{n-4} - [n-6]x^{n-6} - [n-8]x^{n-8} \text{ etc.}$$

Sicque erit

$$[n-2] = \frac{n}{1},$$

$$[n-4] = \frac{n(3-n)}{1.2},$$

$$[n-6] = \frac{n(4-n)(5-n)}{1.2.3},$$

$$[n-8] = \frac{n(3-n)(6-n)(7-n)}{1.2.3.4},$$

$$[n-10] = \frac{n(6-n)(7-n)(8-n)(9-n)}{1.2.3.4.5}, \text{ etc.}$$

§. 32. Hinc ergo in genere, si potestatis  $x^{n-2i}$  coëfficientem per  $[n-2i]$  designemus, erit

$$[n-2i] = \frac{n(i+1-n)(i+2-n)(i+3-n) \dots (2i-1-n)}{1.2.3.4. \dots i}.$$

Scilicet ista forma composita erit ex  $i$  factoribus, quorum primus semper est  $\frac{n}{1}$ ; secundus  $\frac{i+1-n}{2}$ ; tertius  $\frac{i+2-n}{3}$ ; quartus  $\frac{i+3-n}{4}$ , donec perueniat ad ultimum, qui est  $\frac{2i-1-n}{i}$ ; unde patet factorem quemlibet intermedium indici  $\lambda$  respondentem fore  $\frac{i+\lambda-1-n}{\lambda}$ , si modo fuerit  $\lambda < i$ ; tum vero sumto  $\lambda = i$  prodibit factor ultimus  $\frac{2i-1-n}{i}$ . Hoc ergo modo pro qualibet potestate  $x^{n-2i}$ , eius coëfficientem, quem per  $[n-2i]$  designamus, facile exhibere licebit. Ita potestatis  $x^{n-20}$  coëfficiens erit

$$[n-20] = \frac{n(11-n)(12-n)(13-n)(14-n)(15-n)(16-n)(17-n)(18-n)(19-n)}{1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.9.10},$$

unde

vnde patet, quoties  $n$  fuérit numerus integer, siue 11, siue 12, siue 13, vsque ad 19, istum coëfficientem semper fore = 0, atque hoc adeo in genere eueniet, quando  $n$  fuerit numerus integer, vel  $i+1$ , vel  $i+2$ , vel  $i+3$ , vsque ad  $2i-1$ .

§. 33. Sumamus nunc  $i=n$ , quae positio ergo locum habere nequit, nisi  $n$  sit numerus integer, quandoquidem manifestum est loco  $i$  alios numeros praeter integros accipi non posse. Hoc igitur modo obtinebitur coëfficiens potestatis  $x^{-n}$ , quem designamus per  $[-n]$ , eritque:

$$[-n] = \frac{n \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot n-1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot n},$$

cuius expressionis valor manifesto est = 1, ita vt terminus hinc natus fit -1,  $x^{-n} = -\frac{1}{x^n}$ , qui terminus primum in fractis occurrit. Si enim capiatur  $i < n$ , vt tamen sit  $n-2$   $i$  numerus negatius, coëfficientes, vt supra vidimus, erunt = 0, namque sumto  $i=n-1$ , iam factor secundus euaneat; sumto autem  $i=n-2$ , tertius euaneat; quartus deinde si  $i=n-3$  et ita porro, sicque omnes termini hunc precedentes erunt integri.

§. 34. Quaeramus nunc etiam terminos hunc:  $-\frac{x}{x^n}$  frequentes, ac pro secundo erit  $i=n+1$ , vnde potestatis  $x^{-n-2}$  coëfficiens erit

$$-\frac{n \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot n+1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot n+1} = n,$$

ita vt potestatum negatiuarum secunda sit  $-\frac{n}{x^{n+2}}$ . Simili modo pro tertio termino sit  $i=n+2$ , et potestatis  $x^{-n-4}$  coëfficiens erit

$$-\frac{n \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot n+3}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot n+2} = -\frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2}.$$

Sit

Sit nunc  $i = n + 3$ , ac potestatis  $x^{-n-6}$  coëfficiens erit

$$\frac{n \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} \cdots \frac{(n+5)}{(n+3)} = \frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}.$$

Eodem modo evidens est potestatis  $x^{-n-8}$  coëfficientem fore

$$\frac{n \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} \cdots \frac{(n+7)}{(n+4)} = \frac{n(n+5)(n+6)(n+7)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}.$$

§. 35. Ex his igitur manifestum est terminos fractos, ad quos prima series perducit, fore

$$\frac{1}{x^n} - \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+2}} - \frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+4}} - \frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+6}} - \text{etc.}$$

Cum igitur altera series pro  $\frac{1}{2} \cos. n \Phi$  adiicienda sit

$$\frac{1}{x^n} + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+2}} + \frac{n(n+3)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+4}} + \frac{n(n+4)(n+5)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+6}} + \text{etc.}$$

nunc firmiter a nobis est euictum, omnes terminos fractos prioris seriei per seriem posteriorem penitus tolli, ita ut ex serie priore termini tantum integri relinquantur, quibus valor ipsius  $\frac{1}{2} \cos. n \Phi$  exprimatur. Ex ipsa autem demonstratione apparet, hanc destructionem locum habere non posse, nisi exponentis  $n$  fuerit numerus integer. Pro exponentibus igitur fractis ambas illas series in infinitum continuari oportet, quippe quae iunctim sumtae demum valorem pro  $\frac{1}{2} \cos. n \Phi$  exhibebunt; sicque omnia sunt perspicua, quae initio circa necessarias restrictiones formulae pro cofinibus datae sunt tradita.

§. 36. Hic autem imprimis notasse iuuabit, valorem utriusque seriei seorsim sumiae reuera esse imaginarium. Vidimus enim priorem seriem natam esse ex evolutione formulae  $[z + \sqrt{z(z-1)}]^n$ , posteriorem vero ex evolutione huius:  $[z - \sqrt{z(z-1)}]^n$ , posito  $z = \cos. \Phi$ ; tum autem prior formula transformatur in hanc:  $\cos. n \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. n \Phi$ , po-

sterior vero in hanc:  $\cos n\phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin n\phi$ , quarum utraque manifesto est imaginaria, earum tamen summa praebet  $\pm \cos n\phi$ ; sin autem posteriorem a priore auferamus, relinquetur  $\pm \sqrt{-1} \sin n\phi$ , cui formulae ergo aequaretur differentia nostrarum serierum; vnde patet finum anguli multipli  $n\phi$  hoc modo per series realiter exprimi non posse, cui incommodo autem remedium afferemus in sequente problemate.

### Problema 5.

*Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali*

$$\partial \partial s(zz-1) + z \partial z \partial s - nn s \partial z^2 = 0,$$

*si ponatur  $s = v \sqrt{zz-1}$ , valorem huius quantitatis  $v$  per seriem exprimere.*

### Solutio.

§. 37. Quod ad expressionem finitam huius quantitatis  $v$  attinet, ea sponte patet ex valore finito pro ipsa quantitate  $s$  inuento, cum sit

$$v \sqrt{zz-1} = f[z + \sqrt{zz-1}]^n + g[z - \sqrt{zz-1}]^n.$$

Nunc autem nobis propositum est valorem ipsius  $v$  per eiusmodi seriem inuestigare, cuius termini etiam per potestates ipsius  $z$  pariter descendentes progrediantur, quam ergo seriem ex ipsa aequatione proposita deduci oportet, postquam scilicet loco  $s$  valor  $v \sqrt{zz-1}$  fuerit introductus.

§. 38. Quo autem hanc substitutionem facilius facere queamus, sumamus logarithmos  $l s = l v + \frac{1}{2} l(zz-1)$ , vnde differentiando nanciscimur  $\frac{\partial s}{s} = \frac{\partial v}{v} + \frac{z \partial z}{zz-1}$ , quae aequatio denuo differentiata praebet:

$$\frac{s \partial \partial s - \partial s^2}{ss} = \frac{\partial \partial v}{v} + \frac{\partial v^2}{vv} + \frac{\partial z^2}{zz-1} - \frac{2zz \partial z^2}{(zz-1)^2}.$$

Iam

Iam addatur utrinque

$$\frac{\partial s^2}{ss} = \frac{\partial v^2}{vv} + \frac{2z\partial z\partial v}{v(zz-1)} + \frac{zz\partial z^2}{(zz-1)^2},$$

atque obtinebimus

$$\frac{\partial \partial s}{s} = \frac{\partial \partial v}{v} + \frac{\partial z^2}{zz-1} - \frac{zz\partial z^2}{(zz-1)^2} + \frac{2z\partial z\partial v}{v(zz-1)}, \text{ siue}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial s}{s} = \frac{\partial \partial v}{v} + \frac{2z\partial z\partial v}{v(zz-1)} - \frac{\partial z^2}{(zz-1)^2}.$$

§. 39. Aequatio autem proposita per  $s$  diuisa fit

$$\frac{\partial \partial s}{s}(zz-1) + \frac{z\partial z\partial s}{s} - nn\partial z^2 = 0,$$

eaque facta substitutione induet sequentem formam:

$$\frac{\partial \partial v}{v}(zz-1) + \frac{3z\partial z\partial v}{v} - (nn-1)\partial z^2 = 0,$$

quae duda in  $\frac{v}{\partial z^2}$  dabit

$$\frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial z^2}(zz-1) + \frac{3z\partial v}{\partial z} - v(nn-1) = 0,$$

atque ex hac aequatione seriem desideratam pro  $v$  elici oportebit.

§. 40. Hic igitur iterum ante omnia primum terminum inuestigare debemus, quem in finem statuamus

$$v = A z^\lambda + B z^{\lambda-2} + C z^{\lambda-4} \text{ etc.}$$

et cum fit

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \lambda A z^{\lambda-1} + (\lambda-2) B z^{\lambda-3} \text{ etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial z^2} = \lambda(\lambda-1) A z^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) B z^{\lambda-4} \text{ etc.}$$

facta substitutione orietur sequens aequalitas:

$$0 = \lambda(\lambda-1) A z^\lambda + (\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) B z^{\lambda-2} \text{ etc.}$$

$$- \lambda(\lambda-1) A z^{\lambda-2} \text{ etc.}$$

$$3\lambda A z^\lambda + 3(\lambda-2) B z^{\lambda-2} \text{ etc.}$$

$$-(nn-1) A z^\lambda - (nn-1) B z^{\lambda-2} \text{ etc.}$$

Vt nunc prima potestas  $z^\lambda$  sponte tollatur, necesse est vt

K 2

fit

fit  $\lambda(\lambda - 1) + 3\lambda - nn + 1 = 0$ , siue  $(\lambda + 1)^2 - nn = 0$ , hincque duo valores pro  $\lambda$  reperiuntur:  $\lambda = -n - 1$  et  $\lambda = n - 1$ ; vnde sequitur pio valore completo ipsius  $v$  exprimendo requiri duas series infinitas, quas sequenti modo referamus:

$$v = \begin{cases} Az^{n-1} - Bz^{n-3} + Cz^{n-5} - Dz^{n-7} + Ez^{n-9} - \text{etc.} \\ + \mathfrak{A}z^{-n-1} - \mathfrak{B}z^{-n-3} + \mathfrak{C}z^{-n-5} - \mathfrak{D}z^{-n-7} + \mathfrak{E}z^{-n-9} - \text{etc.} \end{cases}$$

quarum autem sufficiet priorem determinasse, quoniam posterior inde nascitur, scribendo  $-n$  loco  $n$ ; quamobrem habebimus ut sequitur:

$$v = Az^{n-1} - Bz^{n-3} + Cz^{n-5} - Dz^{n-7} + Ez^{n-9} - \text{etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = (n-1)Az^{n-2} - (n-3)Bz^{n-4} + (n-5)Cz^{n-6} - \text{etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial z^2} = (n-1)(n-2)Az^{n-3} - (n-3)(n-4)Bz^{n-5} + \text{etc.}$$

§. 41. Fiat nunc substitutio in singulis terminis nostrae aequationis sequenti modo:

$z^{n-1}$	$z^{n-3}$	$z^{n-5}$
$\frac{zz\partial \partial v}{\partial z^2} = (n-1)(n-2)A - (n-3)(n-4)B + (n-5)(n-6)C - \text{etc.}$		
$- \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial z^2} = - (n-1)(n-2)A + (n-3)(n-4)B - \text{etc.}$		
$\frac{z\partial \partial v}{\partial z} = + 3(n-1)A - 3(n-3)B + 3(n-5)C - \text{etc.}$		
$nnv = - nnA + nnB - nnC + \text{etc.}$		
$+ v = + A - B + C - \text{etc.}$		
<hr/>		
$0 =$	$0A + 4(n-1)B - 8(n-2)C + \text{etc.}$	
	$- (n-1)(n-2)A + (n-3)(n-4)B - \text{etc.}$	

§. 42. Cum nunc singularium potestatum coëfficientes se destruere debeant, per primum  $A$ , qui arbitrio nostro relinquitur, sequentes omnes hoc modo determinabuntur:

$$B =$$

$$B = \frac{(n-2)}{4} A;$$

$$C = \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{8(n-2)} B = \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{4 \cdot 8} A;$$

$$D = \frac{(n-5)(n-6)}{12(n-3)} C = \frac{(n-4)(n-5)(n-6)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12} A;$$

$$E = \frac{(n-7)(n-8)}{16(n-4)} D = \frac{(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)(n-8)}{4 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot 16} A;$$

etc.

ficque prior series pro quantitate  $v$  erit

$$A z^{n-1} - \frac{(n-2)}{4} A z^{n-3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{4 \cdot 8} A z^{n-5} - \text{etc.}$$

§. 43. Ex hac autem serie altera sponte eruitur, si modo loco  $A$  scribamus  $\mathfrak{A}$  et  $-n$  loco  $n$ , vnde valor compleatus ipsius  $v$  binis sequentibus seriebus exprimetur:

$$v = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(z^{n-1} - \frac{(n-2)}{4} z^{n-3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{4 \cdot 8} z^{n-5} - \text{etc.}) \\ + \mathfrak{A}(z^{-n-1} + \frac{(n+2)}{4} z^{-n-3} + \frac{(n+3)(n+4)}{4 \cdot 8} z^{-n-5} + \text{etc.}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

§. 44. Nunc autem supereft, vt iftam formam per series inuentam cum forma finita

$v \sqrt{(zz-1)} = f[z + \sqrt{(zz-1)}]^n + g[z + \sqrt{(zz-1)}]^{-n}$ , concordem reddamus, quem in finem tribuamus ipfi  $z$  valorem infinite magnum, quandoquidem hoc modo in binis seriebus prae terminis primis omnes sequentes euanescent, ita vt hinc fit  $v = A z^{n-1} + \mathfrak{A} z^{-n-1}$ ; at vero ex forma finita, ob  $\sqrt{(zz-1)} = z$ , erit  $vz = f(z^2)^n + g(z^2)^{-n}$ , ideoque  $v = 2^n f z^{n-1} + \frac{g}{2^n z^{n+1}}$ , qua forma cum illa comparata

evidens est sumi debere  $A = 2^n f$  et  $\mathfrak{A} = \frac{g}{2^n}$ .

## Problema 6.

*Proposito angulo quocunque  $\Phi$  inuenire formam generali pro finibus angularum quorumvis multipolorum.*

## Solutio.

§. 45. Statuatur vt ante  $z = \cos \Phi$ , eritque  $\sqrt{zz - 1} = +\sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi$ , hincque porro

$$[z + \sqrt{zz - 1}]^n = \cos n \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi \text{ et}$$

$$[z - \sqrt{zz - 1}]^n = \cos n \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi.$$

Nunc igitur ex forma finita habebimus

$$\begin{aligned} s &= v \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi = f(\cos n \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi) \\ &\quad + g(\cos n \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi). \end{aligned}$$

Faciamus iam  $f = 1$  et  $g = -1$ , ac prodibit

$$s = v \sqrt{-1} \sin \Phi = 2 \sqrt{-1} \sin n \Phi,$$

sicque erit  $2 \sin n \Phi = v \sin \Phi$ .

§. 46. Cum nunc sit  $f = 1$  et  $g = -1$ , erit  $A = 2^n$  et  $\mathfrak{A} = -\frac{1}{2^n}$ , vnde pro  $v$  binas sequentes series nancisci-  
mur;

$$v = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2(2z)^{n-1} - \frac{2(n-2)}{1}(2z)^{n-3} + \frac{2(n-3)(n-4)}{2}(2z)^{n-5} - \text{etc.} \\ -2(2z)^{n-1} + \frac{2(n+2)}{1}(2z)^{n-3} - \frac{2(n+3)(n+4)}{2}(2z)^{n-5} + \text{etc.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Ponamus nunc, vt supra fecimus,  $x = 2 \cos \Phi$ , vt  $2z = x$ , tum vero insuper  $y = 2 \sin \Phi$ , et quoniam inuenimus  $2 \sin n \Phi = v \sin \Phi = \frac{1}{2}vy$ , tantum opus est series superiores pro  $v$  inventas per  $\frac{1}{2}y$  multiplicare, hocque modo sequentem expres-  
sionem generali pro finibus angularum multipolorum obti-  
nebimus:

2 fin.

$$2 \text{ fin. } n \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \left[ x^{n-1} + \frac{(n-2)}{1} x^{n-3} + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{n-5} + \text{etc.} \right] \\ -y \left[ x^{-n-1} + \frac{(n+2)}{1} x^{-n-3} + \frac{(n+3)(n+4)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{-n-5} + \text{etc.} \right] \end{array} \right\},$$

haecque expressio veritati erit consentanea, quicunque valores litterae  $n$  tribuantur, siue positivi siue negatiui, siue integrni siue fracti; vnde patet formulam initio datam hoc defudu laborare, quod ibi series posterior est omissa. Primo autem statim patet, si  $n = 0$ , vtique prodire  $2 \text{ fin. } n \Phi = 0$ , propterea quod singuli termini binarum serierum se mutuo tollunt; deinde etiam clarum est, pro numeris negatiuis  $n$  finis etiam negatiuos prodire.

§. 47. Euoluamus nunc etiam aliquot casus pro numeris integris, ac primo quidem sit  $n = 1$ , eritque

$$2 \text{ fin. } \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \left( 1 + \frac{1}{xx} + \frac{3}{x^4} + \frac{10}{x^6} + \frac{35}{x^8} + \text{etc.} \right) \\ -y \left( \frac{1}{xx} + \frac{3}{x^4} + \frac{10}{x^6} + \frac{35}{x^8} + \text{etc.} \right) \end{array} \right\},$$

sicque binis seriebus iundis fiet  $2 \text{ fin. } \Phi = y$ . Sit nunc  $n = 2$ , eritque

$$2 \text{ fin. } 2 \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \left( x - 0 + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{4}{x^5} + \frac{15}{x^7} + \frac{35}{x^9} + \text{etc.} \right) \\ -y \left( \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{4}{x^5} + \frac{15}{x^7} + \frac{35}{x^9} + \text{etc.} \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Sit nunc etiam  $n = 3$ , eritque

$$2 \text{ fin. } 3 \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \left( xx - 1 + 0 + \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{5}{x^6} + \frac{21}{x^8} + \frac{84}{x^{10}} + \text{etc.} \right) \\ -y \left( \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{5}{x^6} + \frac{21}{x^8} + \frac{84}{x^{10}} + \text{etc.} \right) \end{array} \right\},$$

ideoque  $2 \text{ fin. } 3 \Phi = y (xx - 1)$ . Ponatur etiam  $n = 4$ , eritque

$$2 \text{ fin. } 4 \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \left( x^3 - 2x + 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{6}{x^7} + \frac{28}{x^9} + \text{etc.} \right) \\ -y \left( \frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{6}{x^7} + \frac{28}{x^9} + \text{etc.} \right) \end{array} \right\},$$

ideoque  $2 \text{ fin. } 4 \Phi = y (x^3 - 2x)$ .

§. 48. Ex his exemplis iam fatis elucet, posteriorem seriem in priori omnes terminos fractos destruere, ita ut sufficiat ex priore serie solos terminos integros sumuisse, quoties scilicet numerus  $n$  fuerit integer; hocque adeo facile in genere simili modo demonstrari posset, quo usi sumus pro cofinibus, superfluumque foret similem demonstrationem hic adornare. Caeterum in hac euolutione singulare phaenomenon se exerit, quod non parum suspectum videri queat, in eo consistens, quod ad consensum serierum infinitarum cum valore integrali finito stabiliendum, usi sumus casu quo  $z = \infty$ , quae positio instituto nostro, quo loco  $z$  cofinum anguli assumimus, maxime aduersatur. Verum si perpendamus istum consensum in genere esse constitutum, fine vlo respeolu habito ad applicationem angulorum, omnia dubia sponte euaneantur debent, imprimis cum iam plenissimus consensus cum veritate luculanter eluceat.

---