



[John Muir Correspondence \(PDFs\)](#)

1879-03-01

Letter from James Geikie to John Muir, 1879 Mar 1.

James Geikie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence>

Recommended Citation

Geikie, James, "Letter from James Geikie to John Muir, 1879 Mar 1." (1879). *John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)*. 471.

<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence/471>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in John Muir Correspondence (PDFs) by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

James D. ...

00837

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting at the top of the page.]

Peter March 1 1899

Dear Sir

I am glad that you found my paper on the glaciation of the North Atlantic of interest. I quite agree with you that so many details as I give are not needed to convince any one who is conversant with the modes of glacial action that these islands have been glaciated from the South East. But the "prejudices" (if I may be allowed to use so hard a word) of English geologists in favour of icebergs are hard to overcome, and we can only hope to do so by picking up the evidence that tells against that most swift & unsatisfactory iceberg-hypothesis.

I have long wished to see your Sierras and have had with the liveliest interest what you and Clarence King and others have written about them. Certainly their glacial phenomena must be very grand, and some day I hope to make some acquaintance with them. That my time is much occupied, and when I shall be able to go, I do not know. I send you

by this mail copy of a paper on the geology
of Gibraltar, which may have some interest
for you; inasmuch as it proves that the
cold of the glacial epoch had its decided an-
effect so far south as the South of
Spain, and at a comparatively low ele-
vation. I believe similar breccias or
"glacial conglomerates" occur in many other
regions in the South of Europe, and these
I hope some time to describe. In short
the more I study the Pleistocene deposits
of our continent the more am I impressed
with the wide-spread influence of frost
action during our glacial period. By &
by I shall hope to send you a little
work on which I am now engaged, &
which will give an outline sketch of
the physical and climatic changes which
have taken place in Europe since the
earliest known appearance of man.

Hooker has just published a work on
Morocco & the Great Atlas, in which he
makes mention of the remains of consid-
erable glacial action in the valleys of the
Atlas range. He also describes, great
conglomerate or gravel hills which lie
out upon the plains at the foot of the

^{mountain}
~~hills~~ - which strongly resemble the gravel
& sand hills of formerly well-glaciated
regions!

Yet some of our geologists will main-
tain that there never was a glacial
period - but that each particular region
has been glaciated on its own account
at some separate period! Mr. Campbell
for example frosts round the world in
one year and a day, and finds no
trace of a general cold period in our
hemisphere!

I had recently a most interesting
account by Prof. Chamberlin of the
glacial deposits of Wisconsin. He
describes the Kettle Range of gravel
hills as an immense moraine, and
seems to make out a very strong case.
But I suppose you have seen his
paper.

Excuse this rambling letter. And
again thanking you for your kind
letter, and for your kind offer to
show me the wonders of glaciation
in your splendid country - (I would
gladly start off now!)

I am faithfully yours
James Fiske