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ABSTRACT

Absence of the maxillary lateral incisor creates an aesthetic problem which can be managed in various ways. The condition requires careful treatment planning and consideration of the options and outcomes following either space closure or prosthetic replacement. Recent developments in restorative dentistry have warranted a re-evaluation of the approach to this clinical situation. Factors relating both to the patient and the teeth, including the presentation of malocclusion and the effect on the occlusion must be considered. The objective of this study was to describe the etiology, prevalence and alternative treatment modalities for dental agenesis and to present a clinical case of agenesis of the maxillary lateral incisors treated by the closure of excessive spaces and canine re-anatomization. A clinical case is presented to illustrate the interdisciplinary approach between orthodontics and restorative dentistry for improved esthetic results. In this report, the treatment of a girl with a Class II malocclusion of molars and canines with missing maxillary lateral incisors and convex facial profile is shown. Treatment was successfully achieved and included the space closure of the areas corresponding to the missing upper lateral incisors, through movement of the canines and the posterior teeth to mesial by fixed appliances as well as the canines transformation in the maxillary lateral incisors. This is a 14-year follow-up case report involving orthodontics and restorative dentistry in which pretreatment, posttreatment, and long-term follow-up records for the patient are presented.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital absence of one or both of the maxillary incisors in humans has been observed since the Paleolithic period. With the evolution of species, the face and jaws tend to decrease in the anteroposterior direction. This trend can limit the space needed to accommodate all of the teeth and, consequently, the last tooth of each series tends to disappear (third molars, second premolars and lateral incisors). This is a hereditary process: a generation that has an anomalous tooth (small-sized maxillary lateral incisors/peg-shaped lateral incisors) will have descendants that no longer possess this tooth.

Despite presenting this aspect of heredity, agenesis can also be associated with other factors such as: congenital deformities (including ectodermal dysplasia), radiation and nutritional disorders. However, genetics probably represents the primary etiological factor of tooth agenesis. The prevalence of agenesis is enhanced in the families of affected patients. In a previous study, congenital absence of the permanent lateral incisor
with a frequency of 2.2% and absence of the second premolar with a frequency of 3.4% were reported²⁴.

An interesting study performed in twins showed a high percentage of agreement for agenesis between homozygous twins, while all heterozygous pairs of twins showed discordance for such dental anomaly¹¹. In the 1960s, Garn and Lewis⁵ observed that patients with agenesis of third molars had a higher prevalence of agenesis of other permanent teeth. The prevalence of agenesis of permanent teeth in patients with agenesis of third molars was found to be 13 times higher than the prevalence of agenesis in patients with third molar teeth⁸. Recently, a pair of monozygotic twins with second premolar and third molar agenesis was described to show differential expression of PAX9 and MSX1 genes¹⁰.

Tooth agenesis is the most common developmental anomaly of human dentition, occurring in approximately 25% of the population⁵,⁷,⁹,¹². The third molar is the most affected tooth, showing a prevalence of 20.7%⁷. The prevalence of agenesis is approximately 4.3% to 7.8%, excluding third molars⁵,¹². The second lower premolars represent the most commonly missing teeth, followed by maxillary lateral incisors and the upper second premolars⁶. In Caucasians, the occurrence of tooth agenesis could be classified as: common, if it affects the mandibular second premolars, maxillary lateral incisors and upper second premolars; less common, which includes, in decreasing order of occurrence, lower central incisors, lower lateral incisors and upper first premolars, upper canines and lower second molars; and rare, comprising, in descending order of frequency, agenesis of the first and second maxillary molars, lower canines, lower first molars and upper central incisors¹⁹.

It is important to notice that there is an ethnic difference in the prevalence of tooth agenesis. Epidemiological studies show a lower prevalence of agenesis in black patients compared to whites, while Asians tend to show an increased frequency over time is normal in biologic systems. Obviously, issues such as molar and inter-arcs relationship, margin and gingival contour and aesthetics of the smile must be considered in order to define the best strategy for each patient. Conventional space closure for missing maxillary lateral incisors is a viable and safe procedure that provides satisfactory esthetic and functional long-term results⁴,¹³,¹⁸,²⁰-²³,²⁷. Further improvements by orthodontists in tooth reshaping and positioning, and progress in restorative treatment with individual tooth bleaching and hybrid composite resin buildups demonstrate that quality treatment can be obtained when space closure is combined with esthetic dentistry¹⁰,²⁰-²²,²⁷.

In this scenario, the aim of this study is to present a clinical case of bilateral maxillary lateral incisors agenesis, with a 14-year follow-up, treated satisfactorily with space closure involving orthodontics and esthetic dentistry procedures.

CASE REPORT

Diagnosis

A 12-year-old female patient in the late mixed dentition stage (second transitional period) was referred for treatment at CORA – Centro Odontológico Rodrigues de Almeida with a chief complaint of spacing between the upper anterior teeth (Figures 1A-H). Facial evaluation showed a mesofacial growth pattern, symmetrical and proportional face without upper central incisor exposure at rest and interlabial space of 0 mm (Figures 1A, B). Analysis of the smile showed 100% exposure of the upper incisors, generalized diastema, and midline sagittal plane coincident with the medium line of smile (Figure 1C). The patient had a convex facial profile and thin retruded lips (Figure 1B). Intraoral examination
Figure 1- Pretreatment facial (A-C) and intraoral (D-H) photographs. Initial panoramic radiograph (I)
revealed an Angle Class II relationship of molars and canines, 1 mm overjet, normal overbite, upper and lower coincident midline in relation to the facial plane (Figures 1D, E, F). Maxillary arch showed generalized spaces in the anterior region and missing lateral incisors (Figure 1G). In the lower arch, complete permanent dentition was observed, as well as the parabolic shape of the arch and diastema between the incisors (Figure 1H). The panoramic radiograph confirmed the bilateral agenesis of maxillary incisors (Figure 1I).

Treatment objectives
According to the diagnosis, the aims of treatment of this clinical case included: space closure (the patient’s chief complaint), smile’s line and gingival level improvement, canines transformation/re-anatomization, lower arch midline, overjet and overbite maintenance.

Treatment plan
The proposed treatment plan was the space closure of the areas corresponding to the missing upper lateral incisors, through movement of the canines and the posterior teeth to mesial. Upper and lower fixed appliance was installed with an Andrews 0.022-inch slot (3M-UNITEK, Monrovia, California, USA), Andrews prescription (Figure 2). After orthodontic correction, canines transformation/re-anatomization was performed.

Orthodontic treatment progress
Upper arch was aligning and leveling with continuous arches using Nitinol and also stainless steel archs to perform bending and torque. Individualized canine extrusion and first premolar intrusion during the mesial movement of these teeth were used. Finishing phase was accomplished with an stainless steel braided 0.019x0.025-inch

Figure 2- Treatment Progress: Intraoral (A-C) photographs showing full fixed appliances. Upper stainless steel arch performing bends (individualized canine extrusion) to adequate placement of gingival margins

Figure 3- Post treatment intraoral (A-E) photographs showing proper crown torque of mesially relocated canines and premolars and an optimum level for the marginal gingival contours of the anterior teeth
**Figure 4** - 14-year follow-up involving orthodontics and restorative dentistry: Facial photographs showed a good facial profile (B) and proportional esthetic face (A). The analysis of the smile showed 100% exposure of the upper incisors with midline sagittal plane coincident with the medium line of smile (C). Intraoral photographs revealed optimal and stable occlusion with normal overbite and overjet (D-F) and maintenance of upper and lower arch shapes (G-H). Panoramic X-ray (I) showing ideal dental axial inclinations, confirming the stability of the closed spaces.
archwire to provide intercuspal. Hawley plate was used for retention after appliance removal (Figure 3D).

Lower arch was aligning and leveling with 0.014-inch, 0.016-inch, 0.016x0.022-inch and 0.019x0.025-inch Nickel titanium (NiTi). Intercuspal/finishing was achieved with stainless steel braided archwire 0.019x0.025-inch. Fixed canine-to-canine retainer was bonded immediately after appliance removal (Figure 3E).

Treatment results
Orthodontic treatment was conservative in this case, without extractions in the mandibular arch, also due to the good facial profile of the patient (Figure 1C). After upper and lower fixed appliances were placed (Figure 2A-C), the conventional mechanical technique for spaces closure was performed. This case’s completion has kept the upper molars in an Angle Class II and canines were masked cosmetically as lateral incisors with restorative dentistry procedures (Figure 3A-C). Our decision to use the space closure treatment considered the improvement of orthodontic results by combining properly detailed orthodontic treatment with techniques from esthetic dentistry. The detailed orthodontic mechanics included: careful correction of the crown torque of mesially relocated canines to mirror the optimal lateral incisor crown torque, along with providing optimal torque and rotation for the mesially moved premolars; individualized extrusion and intrusion during the mesial movement of the canine and the first premolar, respectively, to obtain an optimum level for the marginal gingival contours of the anterior teeth. Some small esthetic repairs were performed after 10 years of initial re-anatomization in order to improve esthetic appearance (Figure 4A-H). The panoramic radiograph confirmed the stability of the closed spaces (Figure 4I).

DISCUSSION
In the present report, the case was treated successfully with orthodontic space closure and transformation of the canines in lateral incisors. Thus, in agreement with some authors, the treatment of these patients represents a challenge for orthodontists and specialists in esthetic dentistry. However, we think that the best treatment option for patients with agenesis of maxillary lateral incisor is, whenever possible, the orthodontical closing of spaces. Based on literature and clinical evidence, we can highlight some advantages of this treatment option, such as better periodontal conditions of patients treated with space closure compared to patients treated with spaces maintenance and prosthetic rehabilitation, obtaining excellent cosmetic and functional results after transforming the canine in the lateral incisor. Robertsson and Mohlin (2000) pointed three advantages of space closure orthodontic treatment. They found that (1) the space-closure patients were more satisfied with the treatment results than the patients that had space opening for prosthetics rehabilitation, (2) there was no difference between the 2 groups in prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular joint dysfunction, and (3) patients with prosthetic replacements had impaired periodontal health with accumulation of plaque and gingivitis. So, they concluded that orthodontic space closure produces results that are well accepted by patients, does not impair temporomandibular joint function, and encourages periodontal health in comparison with the prosthetic replacements.

In cases of closure of spaces the following should be considered: (1) careful correction of the crown torque of mesially relocated canines to mirror the optimal lateral incisor crown torque, along with providing optimal torque and rotation for the mesially moved premolars, (2) canines bleaching, as these teeth are normally more yellowish than the incisors, (3) the relationship between space closure and treatment time, which generally can be increased, (4) the difficulty in mechanical posterior tooth rotation during the subsequent mesial movement, uncontrolled root of the first pre-molars that have two roots, and differential bracket bonding, where the canines receive the lateral incisor brackets. Usually there is the need for adjustments off set for the canines and first premolars, besides the need for canines extrusion and premolars intrusion to adjust the gingival level. Regarding canines mechanics, special attention should be given to the torque that the canines should receive, namely lingual root torque. The first premolars, in turn, will receive brackets for canines occupying an appropriate buccolingual and mesiodistal position, as they can be intruded and torqued to increase the gingival margin, similar to the canines. Later they must be transformed into canines with esthetic dentistry procedures.

Clinical experience has shown us that a good clinical outcome depends on various factors such as knowledge and professional skills involved in the treatment as well as the combination of orthodontic and esthetic dentistry techniques, patient’s cooperation and age. The orthodontist should move the teeth mesially, characterizing the canine in the lateral incisor, considering torque and extrusion. Similarly, the premolar characteristics should be transformed in a canine, increasing intrusion and torque, to raise the gingiva, as previously mentioned. The dentist who performs the re-anatomization of the canines must pay attention to the teeth’s shape and color. The
possible involvement of a periodontist may be necessary to obtain an adequate level and gingival contour (Figure 3).

The occlusion of a patient with lateral incisors agenesis orthodontically treated with posterior teeth’s mesialization is satisfactory from the aesthetic and functional point of view. Long-term studies evaluated the periodontal status and occlusal function from 2 to 25 years post-treatment \(^\text{18,21}\), concluding that there is no functional overload in the premolar. However, in some patients, due to the discrepancy in teeth’s size (Bolton), the case may be ended with a little overjet and overbite. Regarding retention, Hawley is usually utilized for the upper arch and a 3x3 in the lower arch, for continuous use.

CONCLUSION

The treatment of patients with missing lateral incisors must be multidisciplinary. It can involve orthodontics, esthetic dentistry, implantology and prosthodontics. The interdisciplinary approach can achieve not only an optimal occlusion, but also a well-balanced, natural smile that will be stable over the long-term.

Treatment options to close spaces orthodontically or maintain these spaces for future prosthodontics rehabilitation should be discussed with the patient and/or parents. The orthodontist should explain all of the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment option. Some factors such as the need for extractions, the sagittal relationship of dental arches, the occlusal relationship of the posterior teeth, the position, shape and color of the canines, the amount of remaining space, patient age and analysis of the standard profile as well as the patient’s face must be considered in treatment planning.

For all that was reported in this clinical case with a successful long-term follow-up, it is concluded that bilateral maxillary lateral incisors agenesis can be treated satisfactorily with space closure involving orthodontics and esthetic dentistry procedures.
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