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Introduction

Xerostomia is the condition of severe hyposalivation resulting from damage of salivary glands from medications, systemic diseases (such as diabetes and Sjögren syndrome), and radiotherapy (RT) for head and neck cancer (HNC). More than 30,000 people in the United States are diagnosed with HNC annually (1); most of these patients receive RT as part of their treatment (2). Despite the widespread application of intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) to the submandibular gland, this specific population, Lin–CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+, possessed the highest capacity for proliferation, self renewal, and differentiation during serial passage in vitro. Serial transplantations of this stem cell population into the submandibular gland of irradiated mice successfully restored saliva secretion and increased the number of functional acini. Gene-expression analysis revealed that glial cell line–derived neurotrophic factor (Gdnf) is highly expressed in Lin–CD24–c-Kit+Sca1+ stem cells. Furthermore, GDNF expression was upregulated upon radiation therapy in submandibular glands of both mice and humans. Administration of GDNF improved saliva production and enriched the number of functional acini in submandibular glands of irradiated animals and enhanced salisphere formation in cultured salivary stem cells, but did not accelerate growth of head and neck cancer cells. These data indicate that modulation of the GDNF pathway may have potential therapeutic benefit for management of radiation-induced xerostomia.

Stem cell–based regenerative therapy is a promising treatment for head and neck cancer patients that suffer from chronic dry mouth (xerostomia) due to salivary gland injury from radiation therapy. Current xerostomia therapies only provide temporary symptom relief, while permanent restoration of salivary function is not currently feasible. Here, we identified and characterized a stem cell population from adult murine submandibular glands. Of the different cells isolated from the submandibular gland, this specific population, Lin–CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+, possessed the highest capacity for proliferation, self renewal, and differentiation during serial passage in vitro. Serial transplantations of this stem cell population into the submandibular gland of irradiated mice successfully restored saliva secretion and increased the number of functional acini. Gene-expression analysis revealed that glial cell line–derived neurotrophic factor (Gdnf) is highly expressed in Lin–CD24–c-Kit+Sca1+ stem cells. Furthermore, GDNF expression was upregulated upon radiation therapy in submandibular glands of both mice and humans. Administration of GDNF improved saliva production and enriched the number of functional acini in submandibular glands of irradiated animals and enhanced salisphere formation in cultured salivary stem cells, but did not accelerate growth of head and neck cancer cells. These data indicate that modulation of the GDNF pathway may have potential therapeutic benefit for management of radiation-induced xerostomia.
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Figure 1. Identification of murine SMG SCs. (A) Flow chart of the SSC isolation strategy. FSC, forward scatter; SSC, side scatter; FSH, forward scatter height; FSW, forward scatter width; SSH, side scatter height; SSW, side scatter width. (B) Representative sorting plot for SSCs. DAPI negative, single living cells were first separated with epithelial marker CD24 and hematopoietic and endothelial lineage marker CD45/31. Lin–CD24hi (P5), Lin–CD24lo (P19), and Lin–CD24– (P20) subpopulations were further separated with SC markers c-Kit and Sca1. (C) P7–P18 subpopulations were sorted and cultured on Matrigel. Representative growth patterns at D14 in vitro. Scale bar: 100 μm. (D) Quantification of salisphere number to seeding-cell number of each population at D7 and D14 in vitro. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA, compared with P10 Lin–CD24¢ c-Kit ¢ Sca1® controls. n = 4. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.
survival, growth, differentiation, and migration (13, 14). It also participates in renal morphogenesis and spermatogenesis by promoting SC self renewal and proliferation (15-17). In addition, GDNF is currently being evaluated in the treatment of human Parkinson disease in clinical trials, making it a promising candidate for future SSC therapy (18, 19). We demonstrated that GDNF treatment in vivo either before or after RT improved saliva production in irradiated SMGs without accelerating HNC growth. GDNF treatment increased the number of surviving SCCs after RT in vivo and enhanced salisphere formation in culture. GDNF expression in SMG tissues increased with RT and colocalized with that of the focal adhesion kinase (FAK) in SSCs. These data together suggest that we have identified a highly enriched population of SSCs and that GDNF signaling is important for SSC survival and could thus be useful in future SC therapies.

Results

Isolation of an enriched population of murine SSCs

Defining the optimal combination of cell-surface markers for murine SSC isolation. The steps for SSC enrichment are depicted in Figure 1A. After removing clumped cells, dead cells, and cell debris, we depleted CD45+ and CD31+ hematopoietic and endothelial lineage cells (20, 21). We then enriched for epithelial cells with CD24 and EpCAM (CD326, a pan-epithelial marker) (22, 23). Since all CD24+ cells were EpCAM+, we used CD24 as the epithelial selection marker in subsequent sorting (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI4096DS1).

Because c-Kit is a well-known SC marker for many adult tissues (24, 25) and c-Kit+ cells have been shown to improve the function of irradiated murine SMGs (5), we used c-Kit as our anchor SC selection marker. The second SC marker that we selected was Sca1, which is an established hematopoietic SC marker (26) and a subset SC selection marker. The second SC marker that we selected was Sca1, which is an established hematopoietic SC marker (26) and was used to identify SSCs in prior studies (5, 7).

We also evaluated CD49f (integrin α6, another putative marker for SSC and breast cancer SC) (8, 22, 27) and CD90.1 (Thy-1, a hematopoietic stem marker) (28). Because all CD24+ cells were also CD49f+ (Supplemental Figure 1B) and CD90.1+ cells did not improve sphere formation over the CD24/c-Kit combination (Supplemental Figure 1C), neither marker was used in subsequent sorting.

To evaluate the sphere-forming capacity of the different cell subpopulations based on the 4 markers (CD24, c-Kit, Sca1, and lineage markers), we purified cells as depicted in Supplemental Table 1. The percentage of each population relative to the parent population is also shown in Supplemental Table 1. Representative flow profiles are shown in Figure 1B. The highest percentage of c-Kit+Sca1+ cells was noted in the CD24+ group (P8) (0.373%). Viable cells from 12 passages c-Kit, cytokeratin 5 (CK5), and CK14 compared with the Lin–CD24−c-Kit−Sca1− cells (Supplemental Figure 2A). CK5 is a type II cytokeratin that forms a heterotrimer with type I CK14. CK5 is highly expressed in the embryonic SMG epithelial bud (29), while CK14 is a marker of basal layer epidermis (30). In contrast, SSCs expressed lower levels of acinar differentiation marker aquaporin 5 (AQP5) compared with control cells. AQP5, a water channel protein that plays a major role in saliva production and secretion, is only expressed in mature acinar cells (31). The mesenchymal marker vimentin was expressed at the same level in both enriched and nonenriched SSC populations (Supplemental Figure 2A).

Salispheres from Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells actively proliferated for at least 14 days in vitro, as indicated by Ki67 staining (Figure 2A). In addition, CK5 and CK14 partially colocalized in day 7 (D7) and D14 salispheres (Figure 2B). These results indicated that Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells expressed markers of basal layer epithelium and actively proliferated in vitro.

To show that Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells could differentiate in vitro, we stained the spheres for acinar marker AQP5, ductal luminal epithelial marker CK8 (32), and ductal basal epithelial marker CK14. AQP5 expression was patchy on D7 and D14 spheres and became more confluent on D21 spheres (Figure 2C). A similar expression pattern was noted for amylase α, which is a protein secreted by acinar cells (Figure 2D). CK8 and CK14 showed partial colocalization in D7 salispheres. CK8 was more dominantly expressed in the sphere center at D21, while CK14 was highly expressed in the sphere periphery (Figure 2E), a pattern that mimics the expression of these 2 cytokeratins in adult SMG. In contrast, the SC markers c-Kit, Sca1, and CK14 only partially colocalized with the differentiation markers amylase α or AQP5 in D21-cultured salispheres (Supplemental Figure 2B).

To prove the self-renewal ability of the SSCs in vitro, D7 salispheres were dissociated into single cells and recultured in Matrigel. They were able to form salispheres for at least 3 passages in vitro. Salispheres from the third passage continued to express the SC markers c-Kit and Sca1 up to D21 in culture (Figure 2F). MTT assays indicated that SSC salispheres were actively growing at D14 in vitro (Supplemental Figure 2C). These findings showed that Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells are capable of self-renewal, proliferation, and differentiation in vitro.

SSC transplantation successfully rescues SMG function after radiation. To prove that Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells could proliferate and differentiate in vivo, we injected SSCs isolated from male GFP mice directly into the SMG of the female non-GFP recipients. The surface marker profile of SMG cells from donor C57BL/6-Tg(UBC-GFP)30Sca/J mice showed a pattern similar to that from C57BL/6 mice (Supplemental Figure 3A). The GFP+ salisphere count derived from SSCs was also comparable to that of C57BL/6 mice (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C). To test whether the Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC–enriched cells can successfully rescue the function of SMG, the recipient mice received 15 Gy irradiation to the SMG before transplantation. As previously reported, 15 Gy irradiation largely destroyed the acini in murine SMGs (5, 11). As controls, Lin CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ cells and unsorted bulk SMG cells were transplanted into irradiated SMGs of 2 other mouse cohorts. Stimulated saliva secretion was recorded over time to evaluate SMG function (Figure 3A).
Figure 2. SSCs form salispheres and proliferate and differentiate in vitro. (A) Sorted SSCs grew into salispheres in vitro. Marker Ki67 indicates active proliferation. (B) Embryonic SSC marker CK5 and basal epithelial marker CK14 colocalized in D7 salispheres. CK5 and CK14 showed partial colocalization in D14 salispheres. (C) Acinar marker AQP5 was expressed in D7, D14, and D21 salispheres. (D) Acinar marker amylase α was expressed in D7, D14, and D21 salispheres. (E) Luminal epithelial marker CK8 partially colocalized with CK14 in D7 salispheres, but became more concentrated in the center as the spheres grew (D21). CK14 was highly expressed in the periphery of the salispheres. (F) D7, D14, and D21 salispheres from the third passages of SSCs all expressed SC markers c-Kit and Sca1. Scale bars: 10 μm (D7); 50 μm (D14); 50 μm (D21).
Figure 3. SSC transplantation successfully rescues SMG function after irradiation. (A) Experiment schema. (B) Total stimulated saliva secretion measured before irradiation (basal), 4 weeks after radiation treatment (PRT 4w), and 4, 8 and 12 weeks (Pin 4w, Pin 8w, Pin 12w) after SSC injection in mice receiving 100 SSCs (n = 7), 200 SSCs (n = 8), 300 SSCs (n = 10), 1,000 SSCs (n = 10), 3,000 Lin−CD24−c-Kit−Sca1− cells (n = 10), and 30,000 unsorted bulk submandibular cells (n = 5). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA, compared with Lin−CD24−c-Kit−Sca1− control at the same time point. (C) PAS staining of SMG at 13 weeks after SSC injection. PAS-positive cells are functional acinar cells. Scale bar: 100 μm. (D) Quantification of the acinar area to total SMG area indicates the rescue effect of SSC transplantation. **P < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA, compared with Lin−CD24−c-Kit−Sca1− control, n = 10. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.
Injection of as few as 200 Lin–GFP+CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC– enriched cells partially rescued saliva secretion at 8 weeks after transplantation, but the difference did not reach statistical significance. Injection of 300 SSCs significantly improved the saliva secretion at 8 weeks after transplantation when compared with the 3,000 Lin–CD24+c-Kit–Sca1– cells injected in mice at the same time point (P < 0.01). Injection of 1,000 SSCs showed an even earlier rescue effect seen at 4 weeks after SSC transplantation (P < 0.05) (Figure 3B). This dose-response relationship, correlating the number of SSCs implanted with improved salivary gland function, strongly indicates that Lin–GFP+CD24+c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC– enriched cells were responsible for reconstituting saliva secretion. Based on the flow analysis, the frequency of SSC– enriched cells in normal murine SMG was around 0.05% (Figure 1C). The number of SSCs in 30,000 unsorted bulk cells was around 15. The presence of few SSCs in the unsorted bulk cells likely accounted for the partial rescue effect noted at 8 to 12 weeks in this group. There was no rescue of saliva secretion in the Lin–CD24+c-Kit–Sca1– control group (Figure 3B).

PAS staining, which highlights functional acini, confirmed that there were more functional acini in SMG transplanted with SSCs than with the Lin–CD24+c-Kit Sca1 (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 4). Quantification of intact acinar areas (normalized to total SMG area) showed approximately 37.6% and 47.5% acini in SMGs injected with 300 SSCs and 1,000 SSCs, respectively, compared with 16.1% acini in SMGs injected with Lin–CD24+c-Kit Sca1– controls.
control cells (P < 0.01) (Figure 3D). Of note, the percentage of intact acinar in unirradiated SMG ranged from 60% to 70%.

Transplanted SSCs proliferate and differentiate in recipient murine SMGs. Flow analysis indicated that there were significantly more Lin GFP+ cells in the 1,000 SSC-transplanted group compared with the 3,000 Lin CD24+ c-Kit Sca1+ control group (Figure 4A and B). GFP+ cells from donor mice successfully differentiated into Lin CD24+ cells, Lin CD24− cells, and Lin CD24−c-Kit−Sca1− cells (Figure 4A). The percentages of Lin CD24+ epithelial and Lin CD24+ c-Kit−Sca1− SSC–enriched cells (in viable cells) were significantly higher in the 1,000 SSC-transplanted group compared with the control group (Figure 4B).

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of GFP further confirmed that there were more GFP+ cells in the 1,000 SSC-transplanted SMG (Figure 4C). Although GFP+ SSCs tended to aggregate around the injection site, we also noted GFP+ cells in regions distant from the injection site at 12 weeks after transplantation. The multipotency of the SSCs was proved by the fact that GFP+ SSCs differentiated into both GFP+ secretory ducts (Figure 4C, arrows) and GFP+ acini (Figure 4C, arrowheads) at regions near and far from the transplantation site. These results were further confirmed by immunofluorescence (IF) staining. A subset of cells expressed GFP as well as the SC marker Sca1 (Figure 4D) and basal epithelial marker CK14 (Figure 4E, arrowheads), indicating that some GFP+ cells maintained SSC features and remained undifferentiated at the basal epithelial layer, where SSCs are normally found. Moreover, GFP+ SSCs were distinct from endogenous hematopoietic cells, which were GFP negative but Sca1 positive (Figure 4D, arrow).

GFP+ SSCs isolated from primary recipients successfully rescue SMG function after radiation in secondary recipients. To confirm that the Lin GFP+CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched cells could self renew in vivo after transplantation into recipient SMGs, we performed a serial transplantation study. GFP+ SSCs were isolated from the SMGs of the primary recipients and transplanted into irradiated SMGs of the secondary recipients (Figure 3A). 250 Lin− GFP+CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched cells successfully rescued saliva secretion in the secondary recipients (Supplemental Figure 5A). Similar to what was found in the primary recipients, Lin GFP+ cells were able to differentiate into Lin CD24+, Lin CD24−, and Lin CD24−c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched populations (Supplemental Figure 5B). PAS staining confirmed that SMG morphology was partially rescued in the secondary recipients (Supplemental Figure 5C). GFP immunolabeling showed that GFP+ SSCs successfully proliferated in the secondary recipients and differentiated into secretory ducts (Supplemental Figure 5D, arrows) and acini (Supplemental Figure 5D, arrowheads). CK14 expression likewise colocalized with GFP in the secretory ducts (Supplemental Figure 5E, arrowheads). Finally, isolated SSCs from secondary recipients were able to grow into salivapheres, which expressed SC marker Sca1 (Supplemental Figure 5F).

Taken together, these results confirmed that Lin GFP+CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSCs were able to self renew in vivo in serial transplantation. The progenies derived from GFP+ SSCs were able to proliferate and differentiate in vivo for at least 6 months after the original isolation. Based on these data, we believe that we have isolated a relatively pure SSC population for further characterization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>Microarray fold change</th>
<th>qPCR fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ly6d</td>
<td>Lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus D</td>
<td>NM_010742</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt10a</td>
<td>Wingless-related MMTV integration site 10a</td>
<td>NM_009518</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smr2a</td>
<td>Submaxillary gland androgen regulated protein 3A</td>
<td>NM_011422</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrxn1</td>
<td>Neurexin I</td>
<td>NM_020252</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr17</td>
<td>Keratin 17</td>
<td>NM_010663</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr14</td>
<td>Keratin 14</td>
<td>NM_016958</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il18r1</td>
<td>IL-18 receptor 1</td>
<td>NM_008365</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gene-expression analysis of Lin CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched population

To investigate the molecular characteristics of SSCs, we performed gene-expression analysis of a Lin CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched population compared with Lin CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− cells using the Agilent SurePrint G3 Mouse GE microarray platform, which contains 39,430 Entrez Gene RNAs and 16,251 long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). The 197 genes, which showed more than 2-fold elevation in the Lin CD24− c-Kit+Sca1− SSC–enriched popula-
tative PCR (qPCR) validation. We focused on the genes in the functional categories of SC markers, epithelial markers, and growth factors that showed more than 2-fold elevation in the SSC-enriched population. We also included some genes without any change (5 genes) or with decreased expression (15 genes) as controls. Out of 75 genes, 18 were confirmed with qPCR in 4 independent samples (Table 1). As expected, the expression of Sca1 and c-Kit was elevated in the SSC-enriched population.

Figure 5. GDNF expression in salispheres and SMG tissues. (A) qPCR showed GDNF mRNA was highly expressed in SSCs compared with Lin–CD24–c-Kit–Sca1– cells. *P < 0.05, t test. n = 3. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. (B) GDNF colocalized with SC marker c-Kit in the salisphere. Scale bar: 10 μm. (C) GFRα1 colocalized with basal keratin marker CK14 in the salisphere. Scale bar: 10 μm. (D) GDNF and (E) its receptor GFRα1 were primarily expressed in the secretory duct of murine SMG by immunohistochemical staining. Scale bars: 100 μm; 50 μm (insets). (F) GDNF signal was elevated 9 weeks after 15-Gy radiation treatment. Scale bar: 10 μm. (G) GDNF colocalized with NCAM in the ducts after irradiation in human SMG (arrows), but not in the neighboring neurons (arrowheads). (H) GDNF colocalized with pFAK in the ducts after irradiation in human SMG (arrows), but not in the neighboring neurons (arrowheads). (I) pFAK localized in c-Kit–positive SSCs in the ducts after irradiation in human SMG (arrows). The signals partially overlapped. Scale bar: 10 μm (G–I).
higher in SSC than in control cells. The basal keratin markers Krt15, Krt14, and Aqp5 were also highly expressed in SSCs. In contrast, the expression of differentiation markers Krt8 and Krt14 was significantly lower in SSCs. The Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) access for the microarray data can be found on NCBI website (GSE46672).

The role of GDNF in SSCs

GDNF expression in salispheres and SMG tissues. Of interest is GDNF, which showed a more than 2-fold elevation in SSCs by qPCR when compared with control Lin−CD24+c-Kit+Sca1− cells (Figure 5A, arrows). GDNF preferentially bound to the GDNF family receptor α1 (GFRα1), which mediates the activation of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase and functions through the PI3K/AKT, MEK/ERK and SRC pathways in neurons (33, 34), ureteric buds (35, 36), and spermatogonial SCS (37). Recent studies indicated that the neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) and the downstream targets FYN/FAK may function as alternative pathways for GDNF in regulating axonal guidance and cornal regeneration (38–41).

In cultured salispheres, GDNF expression was detected in the ducts and colocalized with both GDNF (Figure 5H, arrows) and c-Kit (Figure 5I, arrows) in irradiated SMG tissues. In contrast, the downstream target of RET, including phosphor-AKT (pAKT) (Supplemental Figure 6F) and phosphor-ERK (pERK) (Supplemental Figure 6G) did not colocalize with c-Kit in irradiated SMG tissues. These results indicated that in SSCs, GDNF and GFRα1 were likely to function through the interaction with the coreceptor NCAM, which then activated FAK after radiation damage to the SMG.

GDNF promotes salisphere growth in vitro. To further evaluate the role of GDNF in SSCs, we applied GDNF in vitro on SSCs. When GDNF (100 ng/ml) was added to SSCs in culture, it significantly increased salisphere-forming cell frequency, from 2.7% to 4.0%, whereas it had no effect on control Lin−CD24+c-Kit+Sca1− cells (Figure 5, A and B). In addition, GDNF increased the salisphere number in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6C). These data suggest that GDNF is a potential growth factor to promote SSC survival.

GDNF successfully rescues SMG after radiation. We investigated whether GDNF treatment in vivo would improve saliva production in irradiated SMGs. A single dose of 50 μg GDNF was injected directly into the SMG of each mouse 24 hours before 15-Gy irradiation. Saliva secretion was measured up to 8 weeks after RT, at the time of sacrifice (Figure 6D). When compared with control saline injection, a single GDNF injection significantly improved saliva production in irradiated mice. The rescue effect was durable, up to 8 weeks after irradiation, when the mice were euthanized for histological studies (Figure 6E). There was no difference in the body weights of GDNF and saline-injected mice, suggesting no systemic toxicity. PAS staining revealed more functional and intact acini in GDNF-treated SMGs than in saline glands (Figure 6F), translating to a larger area of intact acini in GDNF-treated mice (Figure 6G). FACS studies also showed significantly more SSCs in GDNF-treated SMGs compared with saline-treated controls (Figure 6H).

Since GDNF expression is elevated after RT, we also tested whether GDNF injection after RT would rescue the saliva production (Figure 6D). 50 μg GDNF was injected directly into the SMG of each mouse 24 hours after 15-Gy irradiation. GDNF improved saliva production after RT (Figure 6I) and increased the percentage of functional acini (Figure 6J) and Supplemental Figure 7A). FACS studies again showed more SSCs in GDNF-treated SMG than the saline group (Figure 6K and Supplemental Figure 7B).

GDNF does not function as a radio protector in SMG. To rule out the possibility that GDNF acted as a general radiation protector of mature salivary cells, we investigated whether GDNF could protect rat SMG cell line SMG-C6 from radiation-induced cell damage. Clonogenic survival assay showed that GDNF treatment did not affect cell survival from radiation treatment (Supplemental Figure 8A). ROS generated during irradiation as a result of water radiolysis was not changed by GDNF treatment (Supplemental Figure 8B). The pattern of phosphor-γH2AX after irradiation over time, which reflected radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks, was not different with or without GDNF treatment (Supplemental Figure 8C). Although RT induced a G0 arrest in SMG-C6 cells, there was no difference in the cell-cycle pattern with or without GDNF treatment (Supplemental Figure 8D). These data all indicated that GDNF did not act as an overall radio protector in mature salivary cells.
**Discussion**

Repair and reconstitution of adult tissues depends on a small population of SCs. Adult SCs are believed to be quiescent, but become activated and drive tissue regeneration upon damage (10, 42, 43). There is an increasing interest in SC therapy to restore salivary gland function after radiation. SCs from tissues other than salivary gland, including bone marrow (7, 44–50), pancreas (51), and lacrimal gland (52), have been shown to differentiate into acinar-like structures in vitro, but whether these cells can have acinar function in vivo remains to be further investigated.

SCs that reside in the salivary microenvironment are programmed to differentiate into adult glands and are more likely to form functional subunits than SCs from other organs. Recently, efforts have been made to isolate a pure population of adult SSCs. Several single cell-surface markers, including c-Kit (5), Sca1 (5, 7), Thy-1 (8), integrin αβ (9, 10), and CD34 (11), have been used to identify these cells. Although these subpopulations exhibited certain SC properties in vitro, only c-Kit–positive cells have been transplanted in vivo and could partially rescue salivary function. Identification of a pure SSC population will help to reduce the number of SSCs required for future therapy and will allow for better characterization of these cells.

Here, by using multiple cell-surface markers, we have identified a highly enriched population of SSCs, as demonstrated by their ability to form more spheres and rescue salivary function after irradiation in vivo with relatively few cells. More importantly, these Lin CD24+ c-Kit+Sca1+ cells can differentiate into duct and acinar structures, demonstrating multipotency and self-renewal ability both in vitro and in vivo through serial transplantation studies, up to 6 months after initial isolation.

Several pathways have been implicated in SSC regeneration, proliferation, and differentiation. These include the WNT and NOTCH signaling pathways for SC self-renewal and lineage determination (53–56), ASCL3 for proliferation (57), and GSK3β for cell differentiation (58). In addition, the SC niche clearly plays an important role in the fate of SCs (59). Many growth factors are involved in salivary gland morphogenesis during development and regeneration (60), including keratinocyte growth factor (KGF, also known as FGF7) (61), FGF10 (62–64), and EGFR (65). Our gene-expression analysis of the Lin CD24+ c-Kit+Sca1+ SSC-enriched population confirmed that several of the genes involved in SC self-renewal, lineage determination, and development are differentially upregulated in these cells. These genes include Wnt members Wnt10a and Wnt6, which are involved in osteogenesis through a β-catenin–dependent mechanism (66). Wnt6 was also implicated in inducing epithelialization of primitive endodermal cells (67). Nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR, also known as p75 and CD271), has been identified as a stem SC marker in neuron (68), bone marrow (69), and adipose tissue (70). The keratin SC markers CK5 and CK14, which are important in maintaining epithelial proliferation (71), are highly expressed in SSCs. Keratin 15 a SC marker in the hair follicle (72), and keratin 17 could compensate for the loss of CK14 in mouse keratinocytes in maintaining cell growth (73). The role of these SSC-enriched genes in SC function will need further investigation.

Of interest are genes that have not been previously identified in SSC self-renewal. One such genes is Gdnf, which is highly expressed in the SSC-enriched population compared with other epithelial cells. GDNF is known to play an important role in neuron survival, growth, differentiation, and migration (13, 14) and has been implicated in renal morphogenesis and spermatogenesis by promoting SC self renewal and proliferation (15–17). Recently, a GDNF family member, NRTN, was reported to promote mouse embryonic SMG regeneration (74). Our data showed that GDNF treatment resulted in enhanced SSC survival and mitigation of RT-induced functional damage in vivo. Injection of a single dose of 50 μg GDNF into the SMG either before or after RT significantly improved salivary secretion in irradiated mice. The functional rescue was associated with a higher SSC yield in vivo when compared with saline controls. GDNF also promoted salisphere formation of SSCs in vitro in a dose-dependent manner, but did not protect differentiated acinar cells from RT damage. Our findings strongly support that GDNF did not function as a general radiation protector, but rather promoted regeneration through SSCs.

GDNF binds to GFRα1, which mediates the activation of either the RET receptor tyrosine kinase or the NCAM in neurons. RET activates the PI3K/AKT, MEK/ERK, and SRC pathways, and NCAM activates the FYN/FAK pathway. Through these downstream targets, GDNF prevents apoptosis and promotes proliferation and differentiation in neurons (33, 34, 38–40), ureteric bud (35, 36), and spermatogonial SCs (37). Our results show that GDNF and its receptor, GFRα1, are found primarily in SSCs, suggesting that GDNF mainly acts as an autocrine factor. The fact that radiation increased the expression of GDNF and its colocalization with NCAM and pFAK in ductal epithelium suggests that GDNF activates the NCAM/FAK pathway in SSCs after RT damage.

ETS transcription factors ETV4 and ETV5 are known downstream targets of the GDNF/RET pathway and are involved in neuronal development (75), kidney branching (76), and spermatogenesis (77). In our microarray analysis, Etv4 and Etv5 were not upregulated in the SSCs, but 2 other ETV family transcription factors, Etv6 and Etv1, were found enriched in SSCs. However, neither Etv6 nor Etv1 expression showed significant changes in SSCs upon GDNF treatment. These data, coupled with the fact that GDNF did not colocalize with RET, pAKT, or pERK in either salispheres or salivary ductal cells, suggest that the RET signaling pathway may not be a significant player in the GDNF pathway in SSC.

Since GDNF is currently being evaluated for the treatment of human Parkinson disease in clinical trials (18, 19). The potential application of GDNF in improving the survival of SCCs would be readily translated to the clinic. Although our results showed that GDNF was not a general radiation protector for mature salivary cells, whether GDNF primarily promotes SSC survival and proliferation after radiation or can also promote SSC differentiation remains to be further investigated. We have shown that GDNF treatment did...
not affect radiation response or tumor growth in an HNC cell line. However, since GDNF is a growth factor, its effect on promotion of salivary cancer development will need to be thoroughly studied.

In summary, we have identified a relatively pure SSC population that is capable of self renewal and functional restoration of irradiated SMG. We have also identified a growth factor, GDNF, that appears to increase the SSC population after radiation treatment and did not promote tumor growth in a HNC cell line. Manipulation of the GDNF pathway may provide a promising avenue for future SSC therapy in the clinical setting.

Methods
Animals. C57BL/6 mice and C57BL/6-Tg(UBC-GFP)30Scha/J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory.

Flow cytometry. SMG tissues were minced and dissociated in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco; Invitrogen) containing collagenase I (0.025%), hyaluronidase (0.04%), CaCl₂ (6.25 mM), and 25 U/ml dispase (BD Biosciences) for 2 hours at 37°C. The dissociated cells were centrifuged at 300 g and filtered through a 400 μm Millipore filter (Millipore). After the red blood cell lysis, primary SMG cells were incubated simultaneously with anti-mouse CD24, CD45, CD31, Scal (eBioscience), and c-Kit antibodies (BD Biosciences) for 30 minutes on ice to determine the SC population. Cell viability was detected with DAPI (Invitrogen). Cells were sorted on a BD FACS Aria II (BD Biosciences).

Irradiation and intraglandular injection. Six to eight-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were exposed to a single dose of 15 Gy ionized irradiation (250k Vp orthovoltage) using the IC-225 Specimen Irradiation System (Kimtron Medical). The SMGs were irradiated from the lateral side (7.5 Gy per side, total 15 Gy), with the rest of the body protected by a lead shield, as previously reported (11). Representative photographs of the procedure are shown in Supplemental Figure 10. In brief, 4 weeks after irradiation, mice were anesthetized and SMG was exposed by small incision. Sorted cells were suspended in 10 μl culture medium with 0.5% trypan blue. 5 μl of cells were injected into each side of the SMGs using a 10 μl microinjection syringe (Hamilton Co.). The incision was closed with surgical suture. Then 50 μg/mouse GDNF (R&D Systems) was injected through open surgery as described about.

Saliva collection. Saliva was collected for 15 minutes after 2 mg/kg pilocarpine injection (s.c.), as previously described (11). The saliva flow rate was determined at basal condition, 4 weeks after radiation (postradiation treatment [PRT] 4w), and 4, 8, and 12 weeks after cell injection (postinjection [PIN] 4w, PIN 8w, and PIN 12w). The measured saliva secretion was normalized to the mouse body weight, assuming 1 g/ml density for saliva.

Microarray and analysis. Total RNA from the sorted cells was extracted with RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s protocols. Gene expression was determined with Agilent SurePrint G3 Mouse GE 8x60K arrays (Agilent Technologies) at Stanford Functional Genomics Facility and analyzed with GeneSpringGX (Agilent Technologies). The signal threshold intensity was greater than 5, baseline transformation was made to the median of all samples and normalized to the 75th percentile shift. Genes showing more than 2-fold elevation compared with control were further categorized through the DAVID Functional Annotation Tool (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) following instructions. The GEO accession number for microarray data reported in this paper is GSE46672.

Salisphere and cell culture. Sorted cells were suspended in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, N2, B27, EGF (20 ng/ml), FGF2 (10 ng/ml) and IGF1 (50 ng/ml), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) (Gibco; Invitrogen), and then plated on Matrigel (BD Biosciences) in 96-well plates. Medium change was performed every other day. Salisphere numbers were counted on D7 and D14 of culture.

For in vitro passaging, D7 salispHEREs were released from Matrigel by Dispase (BD Biosciences) treatment for 30 minutes at 37°C, followed by 0.25% trypsin/EDTA for 3 minutes at 37°C, then passing through a 25-gauge needle 3 to 5 times. Single cells were counted under microscope and then plated again on a Matrigel 96-well plate.

Rat submandibular epithelial cell line SMG-C6 was obtained from Robert Castro (Neonatal and Developmental Medicine, Stanford University) and Margarita M. Vasquez (Neonatal Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, USA). Cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium as previously reported (75).

For additional information, see Supplemental Methods.

Statistics. Data were expressed as SEM. Statistical ANOVA and Student’s t tests (2-tailed) were used to compare the data. P ≤ 0.05 is considered to be significant.

Study approval. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Stanford University. Patient samples were collected via a protocol approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (IRB #17757).
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