



Pacific Law Journal Review of Selected Nevada Legislative

Volume 1983 | Issue 1

Article 90

1-1-1983

Public Entities, Officers, Employees; County Contracts- Commissioners

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/nlr>



Part of the [Legislation Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law, *Public Entities, Officers, Employees; County Contracts-Commissioners*, 1983 U. PAC. L. REV. (2019).

Available at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/nlr/vol1983/iss1/90>

This Legislative Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals and Law Reviews at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pacific Law Journal Review of Selected Nevada Legislative by an authorized editor of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

force compliance with or to prevent the violation of those laws.¹⁰ A person who sues under these provisions may also seek a determination of whether open meeting laws apply to discussions or decisions of the public body.¹¹ With the enactment of Chapter 408, a suit may also be brought to void actions taken by the public body in violation of open meeting laws.¹² Any suit brought either to void an action by the public body or to require compliance with the open meeting laws must be commenced within sixty days after the action was taken by the body.¹³

10. 1983 Nev. Stat. c. 408, §3(2), at 1012-13 (incorporating 1977 Nev. Stat. c. 527, §5(5), at 1101)(amending NEV. REV. STAT. §241.040).

11. *Id.* (a successful plaintiff may recover reasonable attorney's fees and court costs). *Id.* c. 408, §3(2), at 1012-13 (incorporating 1977 Nev. Stat. c. 527, §5(6), at 1101) (amending NEV. REV. STAT. §241.040).

12. 1983 Nev. Stat. c. 408, §3(2), at 1012.

13. *Id.* c. 408, §3(3), at 1013.

Public Entities, Officers, Employees; county contracts— commissioners

NEV. REV. STAT. §244.320 (amended).

AB 406 (Committee on Government Affairs); 1983 STAT. Ch 586

Prior to the enactment of Chapter 586, a board of county commissioners¹ could not enter into any contract, lease, franchise, exchange of property or other transaction [hereinafter referred to as contract] that extended beyond the terms of office held by those voting on the contract, unless a notice of intention² was adopted at a regular meeting.³ Chapter 586 abolishes the requirement of a notice of intention⁴ and provides that the board may enter into a contract extending beyond the terms of office held by the commissioners.⁵ Chapter 586 provides, however, that the contract is binding only to the extent that money has been appropriated for the specific contract or a like item or service.⁶

1. NEV. REV. STAT. §0.035 (definition of board of county commissioners).

2. 1977 NEV. STAT. c. 264, §1(2), at 463 (amending NEV. REV. STAT. §244.320) (contents of the notice of intention under prior law).

3. *Id.* c. 264, §1(1), at 463; *see id.* (allowed if the action were otherwise authorized by law).

4. Compare NEV. REV. STAT. §244.320 (amended by 1983 Nev. Stat. c. 586, §1, at 1881) with 1977 Nev. Stat. c. 264, §1, at 463 (amending Nev. Stat. §244.320).

5. See NEV. REV. STAT. §244.320(1) (amended by 1983 Nev. Stat. c. 586, §1, at 1881).

6. See *id.* c. 264, §1(1), at 1881. This provision applies only to contracts that extend beyond the term of office of any board member who is part of the quorum voting on the contract. *Id.*