



1891-12-05

Letter from John Muir to [Robert Underwood] Johnson, 1891 Dec 5.

John Muir

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence>

Recommended Citation

Muir, John, "Letter from John Muir to [Robert Underwood] Johnson, 1891 Dec 5." (1891). *John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)*. 132.

<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence/132>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the John Muir Correspondence 1856-1914 at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in John Muir Correspondence (PDFs) by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

(11)

Martinez Dec 5, 1891

My dear Mr. Wansor.

I have been making
inquiries about Havens & Stow.
Havens is an insurance agent or something
of that sort & seems heartily in favor
of making the reservation.

Mr Stow is an able, noted &
notorious politician more unscrupulous
some say than our celebrated Boss
Buckley. Still from all that I can
learn I believe the railroad people
favor the reservation of the Kings River
region & that therefore Mr Stow does
also. He has managed S. P. & C. P. railroad
interests at the state legislature for
many years & is thoroughly unreliable
in anything that runs counter to railroad
interests. I guess however that you
will find our congressman under his

BANCROFT
LIBRARY

direction working in favor of the
park & that the R.R. benefits -
hoped for will be legitimate.

I hear our friend John P
Irish is in Washington for
what I don't know.

Mr Thomas Magee wrote
a good strong letter at my
suggestion on the reservation
of the K R region, which was
published in the N.Y. Times lately.
Have you seen it?

He has also written a shorter
letter which I will send you as
soon as I have time to look it
over. Perhaps it may do good
as an open letter in the Century.
John Muir Ever cordially Yours Frank

BANCROFT
LIBRARY